arXiv:0803.3290v1 [math.GR] 24 Mar 2008

Dimension Quotients

M. Hartl, R. Mikhailov[1] and I. B. S. Passi[2]

Abstract

We present two approaches, one homological and the other simplicial, for the investigation of dimension quotients of groups. The theory is illustrated, in particular, with a conceptual discussion of the fourth and fifth dimension quotients.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction 1
2. Polynomial functors 3
3. Relative dimension subgroups and polynomial (co)homology 7
4. The fourth dimension quotient 20
5. Two spectral sequences 24
6. An identification theorem 29
7. The fourth dimension quotient (cont'd.) 32
8. The fifth dimension quotient 35
References 38

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a group, $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ its integral group ring and $\mathfrak{g}$ the augmentation ideal. The dimension subgroups $D_n(G)$, $n \geq 1$, of G are the subgroups determined by the augmentation powers $\mathfrak{g}^n$, i.e., $D_n(G) = G \cap (1 + \mathfrak{g}^n)$. The dimension subgroups were first defined by W. Magnus [Mag37] for free groups, via power series ring in non-commuting variables, and ever since they have been a subject of intensive study (see [Pas79], [Gup87]). It is easy to see that, for all $n \geq 1$, $D_n(G)$ contains $\gamma_n(G)$, the n-th term in the lower central series of G and equality holds for $n = 1, 2, 3$. Refuting what came to be known as the *dimension conjecture*, E. Rips [Rip72] constructed a nilpotent 2-group of class three having non-trivial

---

[1] The research of the second author was supported by Russian Presidential Grant No. MK-3466.2007.1, and Russian Science Support Foundation

[2] INSA Senior Scientist

fourth dimension subgroup. In a significant contribution J. A. Sjögren [Sjo79] proved that there exist constants c_n, $n \geq 1$, such that, for every group G, the exponent of the quotient $D_n(G)/\gamma_n(G)$, called the n-th dimension quotient of G, divides c_n. While considerable work has been done on the dimension subgroups, notably by N. Gupta ([Gup90], [Gup91], [Gup02]), the structure of the dimension quotients, in general, remains intractable.

The purpose of this paper is to present two approaches to study the dimension quotients, one homological and the other using simplicial objects. The homological approach originates with [Pas68a], where it is shown that for odd prime power groups the fourth dimension quotient is trivial. The simplicial approach rests on the definition of derived functors of arbitrary endo-functors on the category of groups and certain spectral sequences studied by E. B. Curtis ([Cur63], [Cur71]) in the context of simplicial homotopy theory. We illustrate our methods with a conceptual discussion of the fourth and fifth dimension quotients. We hope that the methods outlined here will open fresh avenues to investigate the dimension quotients. The main point to be observed in our approach is that it brings out new relationship between dimension subgroups, derived functors of non-additive functors and simplicial homotopy theory, thus providing new tools and connections.

We begin by recalling in section 2 the constructions of certain quadratic functors that are needed in this work. We then take up in section 3 the study of relative dimension subgroups and polynomial (co)homology. For any normal subgroup N of a group E, the n-th dimension subgroup $D_n(E,\, N)$ of E relative to N is defined by

$$D_n(E,\, N) := E \cap \Big(1 + \mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{e} + \mathfrak{e}^n\Big)\,.$$

Let R be a normal subgroup of a free group F. We prove (Theorem 3.3) that there exists a natural isomorphism

$$(F/R\gamma_2(F)) \overset{\wedge}{*} (F/R\gamma_2(F)) \;\cong\; D_3(F,\, R)/\gamma_2(R)\gamma_3(F)\,,$$

where, for an Abelian group A, $A \overset{\wedge}{*} A$ denotes the exterior torsion square of A [see (3.4) for definition]. An application of our Theorem 3.3 to group cohomology $H^2_{\mathcal{N}_c}(G,\, M)$ with respect to the variety $\mathcal{N}_c$ of c-step nilpotent groups, for $c = 2$, leads to a solution (Theorem 3.4) of a problem of Leedham–Green [Lee71] in this case. Let $e:\ N \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} E \overset{q}{\twoheadrightarrow} G$ be a central extension of groups. We give a homological characterisation of $D_n(E, N) \cap N$ (see Theorem 3.8). In section 4, restricting our analysis to the case $n = 4$ (see Theorem 4.2), we go on to provide a description of $D_4(E, N) \cap N$, also in terms of the exterior torsion square; from this description we deduce an alternate proof of the well-known result that the fourth dimension quotient is always of exponent ≤ 2.

Given a group G and an endo-functor F on the category of groups, one can define the derived functors $\mathcal{L}_iF(G)$ of F to be the homotopy groups $\pi_i(F(\mathfrak{F}))$, where $\mathfrak{F} \to G$ is a free simplicial resolution of G. When applied to the functors γ_i/γ_{i+1}, γ_1/γ_i, $\mathfrak{g}^i/\mathfrak{g}^{i+1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}^i$, there arise two spectral sequences $E(G)$ and $\overline{E}(G)$ and a natural map $\kappa : E(G) \to \overline{E}(G)$. The study of dimension quotients is naturally linked to these spectral sequences; this is explained in section 5. For Abelian categories, a theory of non-additive derived functors has been given by Dold-Puppe [Dol61]. Given an Abelian group A and an endo-functor F on the category of Abelian groups, let $\mathcal{D}_iF(A)$ denote the derived functor $L_iF(A, 0)$ in the sense of Dold-Puppe [Dol61]. For every $n \geq 3$, we construct a canonical homomorphism v_n (see diagram (5.11)) from a subgroup $V_{n-1}(G)$ of the torsion group $\mathcal{D}_1S_{n-1}(G_{ab})$ to a sub-quotient of the n-th dimension quotient, where $S_i(A)$ denotes the cokernel of the natural map from the i-th component $L_i(A)$ of the free Lie ring $L(A)$ on the Abelian group A over $\mathbb{Z}$ to the i-th tensor power of A. For this purpose, we need a description of the derived functors of certain quadratic functors (recalled in section 2) studied by A. K. Bousfield [Bou67] and H.-J. Baues and T. Pirashvili [Bau00]. After proving an identification theorem in section 6, we analyse in sections 7 and 8 the maps v_4 and v_5 and provide sufficient conditions for the triviality of the fourth and fifth dimension quotients (see Corollary 7.2 and Theorem 8.1).

For basic notions and properties of simplicial objects, we refer the reader to [May67].

2. POLYNOMIAL FUNCTORS

Recall that a map $f : G \to A$ from a multiplicative group G to an Abelian group A is said to be a *polynomial map of degree* $\leq n$ if its linear extension to the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ of G vanishes on $\mathfrak{g}^{n+1}$, where $\mathfrak{g}$ denotes the augmentation ideal of $\mathbb{Z}[G]$ [Pas68b].

Let Ab denote the category of Abelian groups. Let $F : \mathsf{Ab} \to \mathsf{Ab}$ be a functor with $F(0) = 0$, where 0 is the zero homomorphism. If M, N are Abelian groups, then, by definition, F defines a map

$$F_{MN} : Hom(M,\, N) \to Hom(F(M),\, F(N)).$$

Let us write $U := Hom(M,\, N)$ multiplicatively, and $V := Hom(F(M),\, F(N))$ additively. Then $F_{MN} : U \to V$ is a map satisfying $F_{MN}(e) = 0$, where e is the identity element of U. The functor F is said to be a *polynomial functor of degree* $\leq n$ if F_{MN} is a polynomial map of degree $\leq n$ for all Abelian groups M, N. This is a reformulation [Pas69] of the concept of functors of finite degree in the sense of Eilenberg-MacLane [Eil54].

Quadratic functors. A functor $F : \mathsf{Ab} \to \mathsf{Ab}$ is quadratic, i.e., has degree ≤ 2, if $F(0) = 0$ and if the cross effect

$$F(A|B) = \mathrm{Ker}(F(A \oplus B) \to F(A) \oplus F(B))$$

is biadditive. This yields the binatural isomorphism

$$F(A \oplus B) = F(A) \oplus F(B) \oplus F(A|B)$$

given by $(F_{i_1};\, F_{i_2};\, i_{12})$ where $i_1 : A \subset A \oplus B$; $i_2 : A \subset A \oplus B$ and $i_{12} : F(A|B) \subset F(A \oplus B)$ are the inclusions. Moreover, for any $A \in \mathsf{Ab}$, one gets the diagram [Bau00]

$$F\{A\} := (F(A) \xrightarrow{H} F(A|A) \xrightarrow{P} F(A)). \tag{2.1}$$

Here $P = F(p_1 + p_2)i_{12} : F(A|A) \subset F(A \oplus A) \to F(A)$ is given by the codiagonal $p_1 + p_2 : A \oplus A \to A$, where p_1 and p_2 are the projections. Moreover, H is determined by the equation $i_{12}H = F(i_1 + i_2) - F(i_1) - F(i_2)$, where $i_1 + i_2 : A \to A \oplus A$ is the diagonal map.

We recall from [Eil54] the definitions of certain quadratic functors.

Tor(A, C). For Abelian groups A and C, the Abelian group $\mathrm{Tor}(A,\, C)$ ([Eil54], §11, p. 85) has generators

$$(a,\, m,\, c),\ a \in A,\ c \in C,\ 0 < m \in \mathbb{Z},\ ma = mc = 0$$

and relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&(a_1 + a_2,\, m,\, c) = (a_1,\, m,\, c) + (a_2,\, m,\, c),\ \text{if } ma_1 = ma_2 = mc = 0\\
&(a,\, m,\, c_1 + c_2) = (a,\, m,\, c_1) + (a,\, m,\, c_2),\ \text{if } ma = mc_1 = mc_2 = 0\\
&(a,\, mn,\, c) = (na,\, m,\, c),\ \text{if } mna = mc = 0\\
&(a,\, mn,\, c) = (a,\, m,\, nc),\ \text{if } ma = mnc = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have the functor $A \mapsto \mathrm{Tor}(A,\, A)$ on the category Ab. We denote the class of the triple $(a,\, m,\, c)$ by $\tau_m(a,\, c)$.

Ω(A). Let A be an Abelian group. Then the group $\Omega(A)$, defined by Eilenberg-MacLane ([Eil54], p. 93), is the Abelian group generated by symbols $w_n(x)$, $0 < n \in \mathbb{Z}, x \in A,\ nx = 0$ with defining relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&w_{nk}(x) = kw_n(x),\ nx = 0,\\
&kw_{nk}(x) = w_n(kx),\ nkx = 0,\\
&w_n(kx + y) - w_n(kx) - w_n(y) = w_{nk}(x + y) - w_{nk}(x) - w_{nk}(y),\ nkx = ny = 0,\\
&w_n(x + y + z) - w_n(x + y) - w_n(x + z) - w_n(y + z) + w_n(x) + w_n(y) + w_n(z) = 0,\\
&nx = ny = nz = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We continue to denote the class of the element $w_n(x)$ in $\Omega(A)$ by $w_n(x)$ itself.

Eilenberg-MacLane ([Eil54], p. 94) constructed a map

$$E : \operatorname{Tor}(A,\, A) \to \Omega(A)$$

by setting

$$\tau_n(a,\, c) \mapsto w_n(a+c) - w_n(a) - w_n(c).$$

A natural map

$$T : \Omega(A) \to \operatorname{Tor}(A,\, A) \tag{2.2}$$

can be defined by setting

$$w_n(x) \mapsto \tau_n(x,\, x),\ x \in A,\ nx = 0.$$

Clearly the composite map

$$E \circ T : \Omega(A) \to \Omega(A)$$

is multiplication by 2; for, as a consequence of the defining relations the elements $w_n(x)$ satisfy

$$w_n(mx) = m^2 w_n(x)$$

for all $m \in \mathbb{Z},\ 0 < n \in \mathbb{Z},\ x \in A$.

Whitehead functor $\mathbf{\Gamma(A)}$. For $A \in \mathsf{Ab}$, define the commutative graded ring $\mathbf{\Gamma}(A)$ generated by the elements $\gamma_t(x)$ for each $x \in A$ and each non-negative integer t, of degree $2t$, subject to the relations

$$\gamma_0(x) = 1, \tag{2.3}$$

$$\gamma_t(rx) = r^t \gamma_t(x), \tag{2.4}$$

$$\gamma_t(x+y) = \sum_{i+j=t} \gamma_i(x)\gamma_j(y), \tag{2.5}$$

$$\gamma_s(x)\gamma_t(x) = \binom{s+t}{s} \gamma_{s+t}(x). \tag{2.6}$$

The homogeneous component $\mathbf{\Gamma}_4(A)$ of $\mathbf{\Gamma}(A)$ of degree 4 can be identified with the Whitehead functor ([Whi50], [Eil54], pp. 92 & 110) $\Gamma : \mathsf{Ab} \to \mathsf{Ab},\ A \mapsto \Gamma(A)$, where the group $\Gamma(A)$ is defined for $A \in \mathsf{Ab}$ to be the group given by generators $\gamma(a)$, one for each $x \in A$, subject to the defining relations

$$\gamma(-x) = \gamma(x), \tag{2.7}$$

$$\gamma(x+y+z) - \gamma(x+y) - \gamma(x+z) - \gamma(y+z) + \gamma(x) + \gamma(y) + \gamma(z) = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

for all $x,\, y,\, z \in A$.

R(A). For $A \in \mathsf{Ab}$, let ${}_2A$ denote the subgroup consisting of elements x satisfying $2x = 0$. Define $R(A)$ ([Eil54]. p. 120) to be the quotient group of $\operatorname{Tor}(A,\, A) \oplus \varGamma({}_2A)$ by the relations

$$\tau_m(x,\, x) = 0, \quad mx = 0, \tag{2.9}$$

$$\gamma_2(s+t) - \gamma_2(s) - \gamma_2(t) = \tau_2(s,\, t), \quad s,\, t \in_2 A. \tag{2.10}$$

The functors $A \mapsto \Gamma(A)$, $\mathrm{Tor}(A,\,A)$, $\Omega(A)$, $R(A)$ are all quadratic functors on the category of Abelian groups, i.e., all these functors have degree ≤ 2. Furthermore, $R(A) = H_5K(A;2)$; $\Omega(A) = H_7K(A;3)/(Z/3Z \otimes A)$, $R(A|B) = \Omega(A|B) = \mathrm{Tor}(A,\,B)$ and $R(\mathbb{Z}) = \Omega(\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and $R(\mathbb{Z}/n) = \mathbb{Z}/(2,\,n)$; $\Omega(Z/n) = \mathbb{Z}/n$.

The square functor. Let Ab_g denote the category of graded Abelian groups. For $A,\ B \in \mathsf{Ab}$, let $A \otimes B$ and $A * B := \mathrm{Tor}(A,\,B)$ be respectively the tensor product and the torsion product of $A,\ B$. The notion of tensor product of Abelian groups extends naturally to that of *tensor product* $A \otimes B$ *of graded Abelian groups* $A,\ B$ by setting

$$(A \otimes B)_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} A_i \otimes B_j.$$

We also need the *ordered tensor product* $A \overset{>}{\otimes} B$ of graded Abelian groups, which is defined by setting

$$(A \overset{>}{\otimes} B)_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n,\ i>j} A_i \otimes B_j$$

for $A,\ B \in \mathsf{Ab}_g$. In an analogous manner, we can define, for $A,\ B \in \mathsf{Ab}_g$, *torsion product* $A * B$ and *ordered torsion product* $A \overset{>}{*} B$ as

$$A * B = \bigoplus_{i+j=n} A_i * B_j, \quad (A \overset{>}{*} B)_n = \bigoplus_{i+j=n,\ i>j} A_i * B_j.$$

The tensor product, torsion product and the ordered tensor and torsion product are, in an obvious way, bifunctors on the category Ab_g.

Let $\wedge^2$ be the exterior square functor on the category Ab. The *weak square functor*

$$sq^{\otimes} : \mathsf{Ab}_g \to \mathsf{Ab}_g$$

is defined by

$$sq^{\otimes}(A)_n = \begin{cases} \Gamma(A_m), \text{ if } n = 2m,\ m \text{ odd}, \\ \wedge^2(A_m), \text{ if } n = 2m,\ m \text{ even}, \\ 0, \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $(\mathbb{Z}_2)_{odd}$ be the graded Abelian group which is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ in odd degree ≥ 1 and trivial otherwise; thus $(\mathbb{Z}_2)_{odd}$ is the reduced homology of the classifying space $\mathbb{R}P_\infty = K(\mathbb{Z}_2, 1)$. The *square functor* $Sq^{\otimes} : \mathsf{Ab}_g \to \mathsf{Ab}_g$ is defined as follows:

$$Sq^{\otimes}(A) = A \overset{>}{\otimes} (A \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)_{odd}) \oplus sq^{\otimes}(A).$$

Clearly the square functor is a quadratic functor, its second cross-effect functor ([Eil54], p. 77) is

$$Sq^{\otimes}(A|B) = A \otimes B,$$

and one has the operators

$$Sq^{\otimes}(A) \xrightarrow{H} A \otimes A \xrightarrow{P} Sq^{\otimes}(A) \tag{2.11}$$

which are induced by the diagonal and the folding map respectively [see (2.1)].

Define next the *torsion square functor*

$$Sq^\star(A) : \mathsf{Ab}_g \longrightarrow \mathsf{Ab}_g$$

by setting

$$Sq^\star(A) = (A \mathbin{\overset{>}{*}} (A \oplus (\mathbb{Z}_2)_{odd})) \oplus sq^\star(A),$$

where

$$sq^\star(A)_n = \begin{cases} \Omega(A_m), \ n = 2m, \ m \text{ even} \\ R(A_m), \ n = 2m, \ m \text{ odd} \\ 0, \ \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

3. RELATIVE DIMENSION SUBGROUPS AND POLYNOMIAL (CO)HOMOLOGY

Relative dimension subgroups naturally appeared in the study ([Pas68a], [Pas79]) of the dimension subgroup problem via polynomial cohomology introduced there for this purpose. For any normal subgroup N of a group E, define the n-th dimension subgroup $D_n(E, N)$ of E relative to N by setting

$$D_n(E,\, N) = E \cap \left(1 + \mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{e} + \mathfrak{e}^n\right) .$$

This group is also called *dimension subgroup of the extension* $1 \to N \to E \to E/N \to 1$ by Kuz'min who exhibits many interesting properties in [Kuz96]. Note that $D_n(E) = D_n(E,\, \{1\}) = D_n(E,\, \gamma_{n-1}(E))$ since $\gamma_{n-1}(E) - 1 \subset \mathfrak{e}^{n-1}$, whence relative dimension subgroups generalize ordinary dimension subgroups; and indeed, they were extensively used to study the latter since the work in [Pas68a].

Note that $N'\gamma_n(E) \subset D_n(E, N)$, where N' denotes the derived subgroup $\gamma_2(N)$ of N, so the *relative dimension subgroup problem* asks under which conditions equality holds. As $D_2(E,\, N) = D_2(E) = \gamma_2(E)$, the problem becomes interesting only for $n \geq 3$. The basic tool for its study consists of the investigation of the following constructions. Let

$$P_n(E,\, N) = \mathfrak{e}/(\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{e} + \mathfrak{e}^{n+1}), \quad I(E,\, N) = \mathfrak{e}/\mathfrak{n}\mathfrak{e},$$

$$P_n(E) = \mathfrak{e}/\mathfrak{e}^{n+1} = P_n(E,\, \{1\}) = P_n(E,\, \gamma_n(E)).$$

Let $G = E/N$. Then the group $P_n(E,\, N)$ has the structure of both a non-unitary ring and a left nilpotent G-module of class $\leq n$, via left multiplication in E, and the map

$$p_n :\ E \longrightarrow P_n(E,\, N), \quad p_n(e) = \overline{e-1},$$

is a π-derivation, where $\pi :\ E \twoheadrightarrow G$ is the natural projection, i.e. p_n satisfies

$$p_n(ee') = \pi(e)p_n(e') + p_n(e) = p_n(e) + p_n(e') + p_n(e)p_n(e') \text{ for } e,\ e' \in E.$$

Recall the classical sequence of G-modules

$$0 \to N/N' \xrightarrow{d} I(E,\, N) \xrightarrow{I(\pi)} \mathfrak{g} \to 0 \tag{3.1}$$

with $d(\bar{n}) = \overline{n-1}$ and $I(\pi)(\overline{e-1}) = \pi(e) - 1$ (see [Hil71]); reducing modulo $\mathfrak{e}^{n+1}$ induces a sequence of nilpotent G-modules of class $\leq n$
(3.2)

$$1 \to \frac{D_n(E,\, N) \cap N\gamma_n(E)}{N'\gamma_n(E)} \longrightarrow \frac{N\gamma_n(E)}{N'\gamma_n(E)} \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} P_{n-1}(E,\, N) \xrightarrow{P_{n-1}(\pi)} P_{n-1}(G) \to 0$$

This sequence then implies a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \frac{D_n(E,\, N) \cap N\gamma_n(E)}{N'\gamma_n(E)} \longrightarrow \frac{D_n(E,\, N)}{N'\gamma_n(E)} \longrightarrow \frac{D_n(G)}{\gamma_n(G)} \longrightarrow 1. \tag{3.3}$$

Consequently, the study of relative dimension subgroups breaks down into the study of the “intersection quotient"

$$\frac{D_n(E,\, N) \cap N\gamma_n(E)}{N'\gamma_n(E)}$$

and of ordinary dimension subgroups of groups of lower nilpotency class; indeed, N can be replaced by $N\gamma_{n-1}(E)$ since $D_n(E,\, N) = D_n(E,\, N\gamma_{n-1}(E))$. Thus one may focus on the study of the intersection quotient.

It turns out that there is a qualitative difference between the case where N is central (or $[E, N] \subset N'\gamma_n(E)$) and the non-central case. Indeed, for $n = 3$ the intersection quotient (which actually equals $D_3(E, N)/N'\gamma_3(E)$) was proved to be trivial if N is trivial or G is cyclic [Pas79], and under some other, notably torsion-freeness conditions [Kar88]; but it was completely determined - and shown to be non-trivial in general - only by Hartl in an unpublished note in 1993. We cite the result here for further use; its proof, along with a generalization to arbitrary coefficient rings and to relative Fox subgroups, can be found in [Harb].

Consider the following part of a six-term exact sequence for the tensor and torsion product of Abelian groups (see [Mac63, V.6]).

$$\mathrm{Tor}(G^{ab},\, G^{ab}) \xrightarrow{\omega_1} (NE'/E') \otimes G^{ab} \xrightarrow{i\otimes 1} E^{ab} \otimes G^{ab} \xrightarrow{q\otimes 1} G^{ab} \otimes G^{ab} \longrightarrow 0$$

Here $i,\ q$ are the canonical injection and projection, respectively. Moreover, commutation in E induces a homomorphism

$$[\,,\,] :\ (NE'/E') \otimes G^{ab} \to N\gamma_3(E)/N'\gamma_3(E)\,.$$

Theorem 3.1. *For any normal subgroup N of a group E the following sequence of natural homomorphisms is exact:*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^{\mathbb{Z}}(G^{ab},\, G^{ab}) \xrightarrow{[\,,\,]\omega_1} N\gamma_3(E)/N'\gamma_3(E) \xrightarrow{\bar{d}} P_2(E, N) \xrightarrow{P_2(\pi)} P_2(G) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Consequently, one has

$$D_3(E,\, N) = N'\gamma_3(E)\, sgr\{[a^k,\, b] \mid a,\, b \in E,\ k \in \mathbb{Z}\,,\ a^k, b^k \in NE'\}\,.$$

We note that the formula for $D_3(E,N)$ provided by the above theorem was also reproved by different methods in [Raz98].

Theorem 3.1 surprisingly shows that unlike the case where N is central, the inclusion $N'\gamma_3(E) \subset D_3(E,\,N)$ is *not always* an equality, as was suggested by the known partial results. Indeed, there are counter-examples which are p-groups for *any prime* p, see 3.2 below; this is in contrast to the case of classical dimension subgroups (i.e. $N = 1$) which coincide with the terms of the lower central series of G unless $p = 2$ (cf. [Gup02]).

Example 3.2. Let p be a prime and $0 < r \le s$. Define

$$E = \langle x,\, y \,|\, 1 = x^{p^{s+1}} = y^{p^{s+1}} = [x,\, [x,\, y]] = [y,\, [x,\, y]]\,\rangle\,.$$

Let $N = sgp\{x^{p^r},\, y^{p^s},\, [x,\, y]\}$. Then $z = [x,\, y]^{p^s} = [x,\, y^{p^s}] \in D_3(E,\, N)$ by Theorem 3.1, but z has order p modulo $N'\gamma_3(E) = \{1\}$. ☐

Observe that Theorem 3.1 implies that the group $D_3(E,N)/N'\gamma_3(E)$ is a quotient of $D_3(F,\,R)/R'\gamma_3(F)$ for any free presentation $1 \to R \to F \to G \to 1$ of G, and that the latter quotient does not depend on the chosen presentation. We will now show that it even is a functor in G^{ab} which identifies with another functor which can be easily described as follows.

Let Ab denote the category of Abelian groups, and the functor $\overset{\wedge}{*} : \mathsf{Ab} \to \mathsf{Ab}$ be defined by

$$A \overset{\wedge}{*} A = \mathrm{Tor}(A,\, A)/sgr\{\tau_m(x,\, x) \,|\, x \in A\} \tag{3.4}$$

which may be called the *exterior torsion square* of A; this functor is, in fact, the first derived functor (in the sense of [Dol61]) of the symmetric square (see section 5).

Theorem 3.3. *Let R be a normal subgroup of a free group F. Then the map $[\,,\,]\omega_1$ in Theorem 3.1 induces a natural isomorphism*

$$(F/RF') \overset{\wedge}{*} (F/RF') \;\cong\; D_3(F,\, R)/R'\gamma_3(F)\,.$$

The proof requires the functor $\Gamma : \mathsf{Ab} \to \mathsf{Ab}$ (see section 2) and the natural homomorphisms

$$A \otimes A \xrightarrow{w} \Gamma(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} A \otimes A \overset{q_\wedge}{\twoheadrightarrow} \Lambda^2(A) = \mathrm{Coker}(\delta)$$

where $w(x \otimes y) = \gamma(x + y) - \gamma(x) - \gamma(y) = w(y \otimes x)$ and $\delta\gamma(x) = x \otimes x$ for $x,\, y \in A$. Note that $\delta w(x \otimes y) = x \otimes y + y \otimes x$. We also need the standard fact that, for an exact sequence $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \to 0$ of Abelian groups, the induced sequence

$$\Gamma(A) \oplus A \otimes B \xrightarrow{\alpha} \Gamma(B) \xrightarrow{\Gamma(g)} \Gamma(C) \to 0$$

is exact for $\alpha = (\Gamma(f)\,, w(f \otimes 1))$.

Proof of Theorem 3.3 : According to Theorem 3.1, we must show that

$$\mathrm{Ker}([\,,]\omega_1) = sgr\{\tau_m(x,\, x) \,|\, x \in A\} \text{ for } A = F/RF'.$$

Abbreviating $D = F^{ab}$ and $C = RF'/F'$ we get a $\mathbb{Z}$-free resolution

$$C \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} D \overset{q}{\twoheadrightarrow} A.$$

Note that we have an injection and an isomorphism

$$\frac{R \cap \gamma_2(F)}{R'\gamma_3(F)} \overset{j}{\hookrightarrow} \frac{\gamma_2(F)}{R'\gamma_3(F)} \xrightarrow{\bar{c}^{-1}} \frac{\Lambda^2(D)}{\Lambda^2(C)},$$

where

$$c:\ \Lambda^2(D) \xrightarrow{\cong} \gamma_2(F)/\gamma_3(F)$$

is the classical isomorphism of Witt in degree 2, i.e., $c((aF') \wedge (bF')) = [a,b]\gamma_3(F)$ for $a, b \in F$. Thus $\mathrm{Ker}([\,,]\omega_1) = \mathrm{Ker}(\beta)$ for $\beta = \bar{c}^{-1} j [\,,]\omega_1$. Now consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Tor}(A,\, A) \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\omega_1"] & \frac{\Lambda^2(D)}{\Lambda^2(C)} & & \\
C \otimes A \arrow[r, "\overline{i \otimes 1}"] & \frac{D \otimes D}{C \otimes C} \arrow[u, two heads, "\overline{q_\Lambda}"'] \arrow[r, "\overline{q \otimes 1}"] & A \otimes D \arrow[r, "1 \otimes q"] & A \otimes A \\
\Gamma(C) \oplus A \otimes C \arrow[r, "\alpha"] & \frac{\Gamma(D)}{w(C \otimes C)} \arrow[u, "\bar{\delta}"'] \arrow[rr, "\overline{\Gamma(q)}"] & & \Gamma(A) \arrow[u, "\delta"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

whose last row is exact with

$$\alpha = (q_\Gamma \Gamma(i),\, \overline{w(1 \otimes i)})$$

and $q_\Gamma :\ \Gamma(D) \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma(D)/w(C \otimes C)$ being the canonical projection. As $\mathrm{Im}(\,\overline{i \otimes 1}\,) = \mathrm{Ker}(\,\overline{q \otimes 1}\,)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Ker}([\,,]\omega_1) &= \omega_1^{-1}\,(\overline{i \otimes 1}\,)^{-1}\,\mathrm{Ker}(\overline{q_\Lambda}) \\
&= \omega_1^{-1}\,(\overline{i \otimes 1}\,)^{-1}\,\mathrm{Im}(\bar{\delta}) \\
&= \omega_1^{-1}\,(\overline{i \otimes 1}\,)^{-1}\,\bar{\delta}\,\mathrm{Ker}((\overline{q \otimes 1}\,)\bar{\delta}) \qquad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that ω_1 and $\overline{i \otimes 1}$ are injective as D is a free $\mathbb{Z}$-module, so we essentially must determine the group $\mathrm{Ker}((\overline{q \otimes 1}\,)\bar{\delta})$. To this end, consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows and columns, where ω_2 is the corresponding connecting homomorphism; moreover, α' and $\overline{\Gamma(q)}'$ are given by restriction of α and $\overline{\Gamma(q)}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \Gamma(C) \arrow[r, "\alpha'"] \arrow[d, "(1,0)^t"] & \mathrm{Ker}((\overline{q\otimes 1})\bar{\delta}) \arrow[r, "\overline{\Gamma(q)}'"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{Ker}(\delta) \arrow[d] & \\
 & \Gamma(C)\oplus A\otimes C \arrow[r, "\alpha"] \arrow[d, two heads, "(0,1)"] & \dfrac{\Gamma(D)}{w(C\otimes C)} \arrow[r, "\overline{\Gamma(q)}"] \arrow[d, "(\overline{q\otimes 1})\bar{\delta}"] & \Gamma(A) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\delta"] & 0 \\
\mathrm{Tor}(A,\,A) \arrow[r, "\omega_2"] & A\otimes C \arrow[r, "1\otimes i"] & A\otimes D \arrow[r, "1\otimes q"] & A\otimes A \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Applying the snake lemma provides an exact sequence

$$\Gamma(C)\oplus\mathrm{Tor}(A,\,A) \xrightarrow{\alpha''} \mathrm{Ker}((\overline{q\otimes 1})\bar{\delta}) \xrightarrow{\overline{\Gamma(q)}'} \mathrm{Ker}(\delta)\to 0, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\alpha'' = (q_\Gamma\Gamma(i)\,,\overline{w(1\otimes i)}\omega_2)$. Now for $c\in C$,

$$\bar{\delta}\alpha''\gamma(c) = \bar{\delta}q_\Gamma\Gamma(i)\gamma(c) = \bar{\delta}\,\overline{\gamma(c)} = \overline{\delta\gamma(c)} = \overline{c\otimes c} = 0\,.$$

Next, let $\tau_m(x_1,\,x_2)\in\mathrm{Tor}(A,\,A)$, and let $x'_k\in D$ such that $qx'_k = x_k$, $k=1,\,2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\delta}\alpha''\tau_m(x_1,\,x_2) &= \bar{\delta}\,\overline{w(1\otimes i)}\,\omega_2\tau_m(x_1,\,x_2)\\
&= \bar{\delta}\,\overline{w(1\otimes i)}(x_1\otimes i^{-1}(mx'_2))\\
&= \delta w(x'_1\otimes mx'_2) + C\otimes C\\
&= x'_1\otimes mx'_2 + mx'_2\otimes x'_1 + C\otimes C\\
&= (i\otimes 1)(i^{-1}(mx'_1)\otimes x'_2 + i^{-1}(mx'_2)\otimes x'_1) + C\otimes C\\
&= (\,\overline{i\otimes 1}\,)\omega_1(\tau_m(x_1,\,x_2)+\tau_m(x_2,\,x_1))
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\omega_1^{-1}\,(\,\overline{i\otimes 1}\,)^{-1}\bar{\delta}\,\mathrm{Im}(\alpha'')$ is generated by the elements $\tau_m(x_1,\,x_2)+\tau_m(x_2,\,x_1)$, $x_1,\,x_2\in A$ which belong to $sgr\{\tau_m(x,\,x)\,|\,x\in A\}$.

By (3.6) it remains to treat $\mathrm{Ker}(\delta)$. We know that it is generated by the elements $o(x)\gamma(x)$, where $x\in A$ is of finite even order $o(x)$ (see [Har96b, Lemma 5.1]). If x is of odd order, then $o(x)\gamma(x)=0$; in fact, $w(x\otimes x) = \gamma(2x)-2\gamma(x) = 2\gamma(x)$, whence $o(x)\gamma(x) = o(x)\gamma(x) + \binom{o(x)}{2}w(x\otimes x) = o(x)^2\gamma(x) = \gamma(o(x)x) = 0$. Thus $\mathrm{Ker}(\delta)$ is generated by the elements $m\gamma(x)$ where $x\in A$, $m\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $mx=0$. For such x and m, and $x'\in D$ such that $qx'=x$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\delta}q_\Gamma(m\gamma(x')) &= m\,\overline{\delta\gamma(x')}\\
&= (i\otimes 1)(i^{-1}(mx')\otimes x') + C\otimes C\\
&= (\,\overline{i\otimes 1}\,)\omega_1\tau_m(x,\,x)\,.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus it follows from equation (3.5) that $\mathrm{Ker}([,]\omega_1) = sgr\{\tau_m(x, x) \,|\, x \in A\}$, as asserted. $\square$

Theorem 3.3 admits an application to group cohomology $H^2_{\mathcal{N}_c}(G, M)$ with respect to the variety $\mathcal{N}_c$ of c-step nilpotent groups, for $c = 2$, thus solving a problem of Leedham–Green [Lee71] in this case. Let G be nilpotent of class c and M be a nilpotent G-module of class $\leq c$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}^c M = 0$. Then it is well-known that the set of congruence classes of group extensions $e : 0 \to M \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{\pi} G \to 1$ with $E \in \mathcal{N}_c$, equipped with the Baer sum operation, is a group isomorphic with $H^2_{\mathcal{N}_c}(G, M)$. Leedham–Green asks when the usual shifting isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{\mathbb{Z}[G]}(\mathfrak{g}, M) \cong H^2(G, M)$ admits a varietal analogue which for $\mathcal{N}_c$ means a natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Ext}^1_{R_c}(P_c(G), M) \cong H^2_{\mathcal{N}_c}(G, M)$ with $R_c = \mathbb{Z}[G]/\mathfrak{g}^c$. He shows that even for $c = 2$ this is not the case, by taking G to be the Klein four-group. Kuz'min [Kuz83] establishes a natural exact sequence which, for the variety $\mathcal{N}_c$ and in our notation, reads

(3.7)
$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1_{R_c}(P_c(G)\,, M) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^2_{\mathcal{N}_c}(G, M) \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathrm{Hom}_{R_c}((D_{c+1}(F, R) \cap R)/R', M)$$

where $f : 1 \to R \to F \xrightarrow{\varphi} G \to 1$ is a free presentation of G *in the variety* $\mathcal{N}_c$, and where the structure of R_c-module on $(D_{c+1}(F, R) \cap R/R'$ is given by the usual conjugation action of G on R/R'. Moreover, ν is given as follows: for an extension e in $\mathcal{N}_c$ as above choose a lifting α_0 giving rise to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & R/R' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_1"] & F/R' \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\alpha_0"] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & M \arrow[r, "i"] & E \arrow[r, "\pi"] & G \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

Then $\nu[e]$ is given by restriction of α_1. For $c = 2$, we improve Kuz'min's sequence as follows.

Consider the group $G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab}$ as a trivial R_c-module. By Theorem 3.3 and (3.2), we have two successive short exact sequences of R_c-modules

$$(3.8) \qquad G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab} \overset{\overline{[,]\omega_1}}{\rightarrowtail} R/R' \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}([,]\omega_1) \overset{\bar{d}}{\rightarrowtail} P_2(F, R) \overset{P_2(\varphi)}{\twoheadrightarrow} P_2(G).$$

These sequences give rise to two successive boundary maps

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{R_2}(G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab}, M) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \mathrm{Ext}^1_{R_2}(\mathrm{Coker}([,]\omega_1), M) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \mathrm{Ext}^2_{R_2}(P_2(G), M).$$

Theorem 3.4. *If G is a 2-step nilpotent group and M nilpotent G-module of class ≤ 2, then there is a natural exact sequence*

$$0 \to \operatorname{Ext}^1_{R_2}(P_2(G),\, M) \xrightarrow{\mu} H^2_{\mathcal{N}_2}(G,\, M) \xrightarrow{\nu'} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_2}(G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab},\, M)$$
$$\xrightarrow{\partial} \operatorname{Ext}^2_{R_2}(P_2(G),\, M),$$

where $\partial = \partial_2\partial_1$, and ν' is defined as follows:

For a typical generator $\tau_m(x_1, x_2)$ of $\operatorname{Tor}(G^{ab}, G^{ab})$, let $\tilde{x}_k \in E$ be such that $\pi(\tilde{x}_k)G' = x_k$, and let $y_2 \in M$ be such that $\tilde{x}_2^m \equiv i(y_2)$ mod E'. Then

$$\nu'[e](\,\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)}\,) = (\pi(\tilde{x}_1) - 1)y_2.$$

We note that the extension of Kuz'min's sequence (3.7) on the right by a boundary operator ∂ as in the Theorem can be easily generalized to any variety of groups.

Example 3.5. *Let E be the group in Example 3.2,*

$$M = \mathbb{Z}/p^{s-r+1}\langle x^{p^r}\rangle \times \mathbb{Z}/p\langle y^{p^s}\rangle \times \mathbb{Z}/p^{s+1}\langle [x,\, y]\rangle \subset E,$$

and $G = E/M = \mathbb{Z}/p^r\langle\bar{x}\rangle \times \mathbb{Z}/p^s\langle\bar{y}\rangle$. Then, for $e : M \hookrightarrow E \twoheadrightarrow G$, one has $[e] \notin \operatorname{Im}(\mu)$, since $\nu'[e](\,\overline{\tau_{p^r}(\bar{x},\, p^{s-r}\bar{y})}\,) = p^s[x,\, y] \neq 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.4: We use the isomorphism

$$\overline{[,]\omega_1} :\, G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab} \xrightarrow{\cong} D_3(F,\, R)/R'$$

of Theorem (3.3) to replace ν in (3.7) by

$$\tilde{\nu} :\, [e] \mapsto (\overline{[,]\omega_1})^*\nu[e] \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R_2}(G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab},\, M).$$

But choosing $\hat{x}_k \in F$ to be such that $\varphi(\hat{x}_k)G' = x_k$ and taking $\tilde{x}_k = \alpha_0(\hat{x}_k)$, $k = 1, 2$, we have $i_*\tilde{\nu}[e]\big(\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)}\big) = i\alpha_1[\hat{x}_2^m,\, \hat{x}_1]^{-1} = [\alpha_0(\hat{x}_1),\, \alpha_0(\hat{x}_2)^m] = [\tilde{x}_1,\, i(y_2)] = i\big((\pi(\tilde{x}_1) - 1)y_2\big) = i_*\nu'[e](\,\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)}\,)$. Thus $\tilde{\nu} = \nu'$ and our sequence is exact at $H^2_{\mathcal{N}_2}(G, M)$. Now let $\beta \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R_2}(G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab},\, M)$. One has $\partial(\beta) = 0$ if and only if $\partial_1(\beta) = 0$, since ∂_2 is an isomorphism; in fact, if $(x_i)_{i\in I}$ is a basis of the $\mathcal{N}_2$-free group F then $P_2(F,\, R)$ is a free R_2-module with basis $(p_2(x_i))_{i\in I}$, as follows from the corresponding fact that the augmentation ideal of an absolutely free group Γ is a free $\mathbb{Z}(\Gamma)$-module. But $\partial_1(\beta) = 0$ if and only if there exists an R_2-linear map $\tilde{\beta} :\, R/R' \to M$ such that $\tilde{\beta}\,\overline{[,]\omega_1} = \beta$. If such $\tilde{\beta}$ exists then there is an induced extension $\tilde{\beta}_*f :\, 0 \to M \to E_{\tilde{\beta}} \to G \to 1$ for which we can choose α_0 such that $\alpha_1 = \tilde{\beta}$, whence $\nu'[\tilde{\beta}_*f] = \tilde{\nu}[\tilde{\beta}_*f] = \beta$.

Conversely, if there exists an extension $e :\, 0 \to M \to E \to G \to 1$ such that $\nu'[e] = \beta$ then the map $\tilde{\beta} = \alpha_1$ satisfies $\tilde{\beta}\,\overline{[,]\omega_1} = \tilde{\nu}[e] = \nu'[e] = \beta$. Thus our sequence is also exact at $\operatorname{Hom}_{R_2}(G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab},\, M)$. □

For $n \geq 4$, the intersection quotient $\frac{D_n(E,N)\cap N\gamma_n(E)}{N'\gamma_n(E)}$ appears to be rather mysterious if N is non-central; in fact, nothing seems to be known in this case. For central N, however, it is known that the triviality of the intersection quotient is measured by the polynomial cohomology group $P_nH^2(E/N,\, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$, where $P_nH^2(G,\, A)$, for a group G and a trivial G-module A, is defined to be the subgroup of $H^2(G, A)$ consisting of elements representable by bipolynomial cocyles of degree $\leq n$ in each variable.

In [Har96a] and [Har98], the above approach was modified and extended so as to define polynomial cohomology groups $P_nH^i(G,\, M)$ for all $i \geq 1$ and n-step nilpotent G-modules M, and to interpret them as relative Ext-functors. It turned out that polynomial 2-cohomology in this sense provides a powerful tool for *computing* the actual cohomology group $H^2(G, M)$ if G is finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent and M is additively torsion-free, not only as a group but along with *explicit* representing 2-cocycles in terms of numerical polynomial functions [Har96a]. Concerning relative dimension subgroups a dual version of the original cohomological approach is proposed in [Har91] and [Har98], using polynomial homology $P_nH_2(G)$ (instead of cohomology); the reason being that the kernel of the canonical approximation $\rho_{n-2} :\, H_2(G) \twoheadrightarrow P_{n-2}H_2(G)$ turns out to be the *universal* relative dimension quotient $D_n(E, C) \cap N/\gamma_n(E) \cap N$ for all central extensions $1 \to C \to E \to G \to 1$, provided $\gamma_n(G) = 1$.

To describe the above concept, we first recall from [Har98] the construction and basic properties of polynomial (co)homology in the generalized sense.

Let K be a commutative unitary ring and A be an augmented K-algebra with augmentation ideal $\bar{A}$.

Definition 3.1. Let $n \geq 0$. The polynomial bar construction $(P_nB(A)\,,\, \bar{\delta})$, of degree n over A, is defined by $P_nB_0(A) = 0$ and

$$P_nB_i(A) = (A/\bar{A}^{n+1}) \otimes_K (\bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+1})^{\otimes i-1} \otimes_K (\bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+2})$$

for $i \geq 1$, and the differential $\bar{\delta}_i :\, P_nB_i(A) \to P_nB_{i-1}(A)$ is given by

$$\bar{\delta}_i(\overline{a_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{a_i}) = \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} (-1)^j \overline{a_0} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{a_j a_{j+1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{a_i}$$

for $i \geq 2$. For left (resp. right) $(A/\bar{A}^{n+1})$-module M (resp. N), define *polynomial (co)homology of degree n of A* by

$$P_nH^i(A,\, M) = H^i(\mathrm{Hom}_{A/\bar{A}^{n+1}}(P_nB(A)\,,\, M)),$$

$$P_nH_i(A,\, N) = H_i(N \otimes_{A/\bar{A}^{n+1}} P_nB(A))$$

Now, for a group G, polynomial (co)homology of degree n is defined by applying these constructions to the group ring $A = \mathbb{Z}[G]$: for left (resp. right) $(n+1)$-step nilpotent G-module M (resp. N) let

$$P_nH^i(G,\,M) = P_nH^i(\mathbb{Z}[G],\,M),$$
$$P_nH_i(G,\,N) = P_nH_i(\mathbb{Z}[G],\,N)\,.$$

In order to understand the properties of these constructions, recall the bar resolution $B(A,\,C)$ of a left A-module C (see [Mac63, X.2]). The inverse of the canonical isomorphism $\bar{A}\xrightarrow{\cong}A/K\cdot 1_A,\ a\mapsto\bar{a}$, yields an isomorphism of $B(A,\,C)$ with the complex $\tilde{B}(A,\,C)$ defined by $\tilde{B}_i(A,\,C) = A\otimes_K\bar{A}^{\otimes i}\otimes_K C$ and the same formula for the differential as in $B(A,\,C)$. But denoting by ΣX, for a complex X, the suspension of X, we see that $P_nB(A) = \Sigma\tilde{B}(A/\bar{A}^{n+1},\,\bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+2})$, whence

$$H_i(P_nB(A)) = \begin{cases} \bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+2} & \text{if } i=1\\ 0 & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

and $P_nH^i(A,\,M)$, $P_nH_i(A,\,N)$ are relative Ext- and Tor-functors, resp. :

$$P_nH^i(A,\,M) = \mathrm{Ext}^{i-1}_{(A/\bar{A}^{n+1},\,K)}(\bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+2},\,M),$$
$$P_nH_i(A,N) = \mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^{(A/\bar{A}^{n+1},\,K)}(N,\,\bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+2})$$

Note that if $K=\mathbb{Z}$ and M is *torsion-free* as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then one can replace the groups $A/\bar{A}^{n+1}$ and $A/\bar{A}^{n+2}$ in $P_nB(A)$ by their torsion-free quotients; if, in addition, $A/\bar{A}^{n+2}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-module, we thus obtain a free suspended resolution of $\bar{\tau}(\bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+2})$, where $\bar{\tau}(X)$ denotes the quotient modulo $\mathbb{Z}$-torsion of X. Thus, in this case, the relative Ext-functors above turn into usual Ext-functors, and we get an isomorphism

$$P_nH^i(A,\,M) = \mathrm{Ext}^{i-1}_{\bar{\tau}(A/\bar{A}^{n+1})}(\bar{\tau}(\bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+2}),\,M) \tag{3.9}$$

Similarly, if N is torsion-free as a $\mathbb{Z}$-module and $A/\bar{A}^{n+2}$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}$-module, then

$$\bar{\tau}\big(P_nH_i(A,N)\big) = \bar{\tau}\big(\mathrm{Tor}_{i-1}^{\bar{\tau}(A/\bar{A}^{n+1})}(N,\,\bar{\tau}(\bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+2}))\big) \tag{3.10}$$

In particular, the isomorphisms (3.9) and (3.10) hold when $A=\mathbb{Z}[G]$ for a finitely generated group G, and this is of particular interest if G is finitely generated torsion-free nilpotent (see [Har96a], [Har98]).

To relate polynomial (co)homology with usual (co)homology, note that the canonical isomorphism $\tilde{B}_i(A,\,K)\cong\tilde{B}_{i-1}(A,\,\bar{A})$ actually is an isomorphism of complexes $\tilde{B}(A,\,K)\cong\Sigma B(A,\,\bar{A})$, since the last term of the differential of $\tilde{B}_i(A,\,K)$ vanishes. Thus natural maps

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_n^*:\ & P_nH^i(A,\,M)\to H^i(A,\,M),\\ \rho_{n*}:\ & H_i(A,\,M)\to P_nH_i(A,\,M)\end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

are induced by the composite map of complexes

$$\rho_n:\ B(A,\,K)\ \cong\ \tilde{B}(A,\,K)\ \cong\ \Sigma\tilde{B}(A,\,\bar{A})\ \xrightarrow{\Sigma r_n}\ \Sigma\tilde{B}(A/\bar{A}^{n+1},\,\bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+2}) = P_nB(A),$$

where r_n is the tensor product of the canonical projections. Explicitly,

$$\rho_n(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_i \otimes \lambda) = \lambda\overline{a_0} \otimes \overline{a_1 - \epsilon a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \overline{a_i - \epsilon a_i}$$

in degree i.

Lemma 3.6. *For $i = 2$, the map ρ_n^* (resp. ρ_{n*}) in (3.11) is injective (resp. surjective).*

Proof. Let $f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{A/\bar{A}^{n+1}}(P_nB_2(A),\, M)$ be such that $\rho_n^*[f] = 0$. Then $r_n^*(f) = \delta_1^*(g)$ with $g \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(\bar{B}_0(A,\, \bar{A}),\, M) = \mathrm{Hom}_A(A \otimes_K \bar{A},\, M)$. For $(a_0,\, a_1,\, a_2) \in A \times \bar{A} \times \bar{A}$, we have $f(\overline{a_0} \otimes \overline{a_1} \otimes \overline{a_2}) = g(a_0a_1 \otimes a_2) - g(a_0 \otimes a_1a_2)$. One has $g(\bar{A}^{n+1} \otimes \bar{A}) = \bar{A}^{n+1}g(1 \otimes \bar{A}) = 0$, and if $a_1 \in \bar{A}^{n+1}$, then $g(a_0 \otimes a_1a_2) = g(a_0a_1 \otimes a_2) - f(\overline{a_0} \otimes 0 \otimes \overline{a_2}) = 0$. Thus g factors through $\bar{g} :\ A/\bar{A}^{n+1} \otimes_K \bar{A}/\bar{A}^{n+2} \to M$, whence $f = \bar{\delta}_2^*(\bar{g}) \equiv 0$ in $P_nH^2(A,\, M)$ and ρ_n^* is injective. As to ρ_{n*}, note that for $(x,\, a_1,\, a_2) \in N \times \bar{A}^{n+1} \times \bar{A}$, we have $(N \otimes \delta_2)(x \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2) = xa_1 \otimes a_2 - x \otimes a_1a_2 = -x \otimes a_1a_2$ since N is an $A/\bar{A}^{n+1}$-module. Thus $\mathrm{Im}(N \otimes \bar{A}^{n+2}) = (N \otimes \delta_2)\mathrm{Im}(N \otimes \bar{A}^{n+1} \otimes \bar{A})$ and whence $\mathrm{Ker}(N \otimes \bar{\delta}_2) = (N \otimes \rho_2)\mathrm{Ker}(N \otimes \delta_2)$. This implies that ρ_{n*} is surjective. □

The K-modules $P_nH^i(A,\, M)$ and $P_nH_i(A,\, N)$, for fixed $i \geq 2$ and varying $n \geq 0$, are related by chains of natural maps

$$0 = P_0H^i(A,\, M) \to \ldots \to P_nH^i(A,\, M) \xrightarrow{\sigma_n^*} P_{n+1}H^i(A,\, M) \to \ldots \xrightarrow{\rho^*} H^i(A,\, M)$$

(3.12)

$$H_i(A,\, N) \xrightarrow{\rho_*} \ldots \to P_{n+1}H_i(A,\, N) \xrightarrow{\sigma_{n*}} P_nH_i(A,\, N) \to \ldots \to P_0H_i(A,\, N) = 0$$

commuting with the maps ρ^* and ρ_* where $\sigma_n :\ P_{n+1}B(A) \twoheadrightarrow P_nB(A)$ is the tensor product of the canonical projections. For $i = 2$ the map σ_n^* (resp. σ_{n*}) is injective (resp. surjective) by Lemma 3.6; therefore, identifying $P_nH^2(A,\, M)$ with its isomorphic image $\rho_n^*P_nH^2(A,\, M)$ in $H^2(A,\, M)$, provides a *natural ascending filtration* of $H^2(A,\, M)$,

$$0 = P_0H^i(A,\, M) \subset \ldots \subset P_nH^i(A,\, M) \subset P_{n+1}H^i(A,\, M) \subset \ldots \subset H^i(A,\, M)$$

Dually, the maps σ_{n*} in (3.12) being surjective for $i = 2$, can be interpreted as a *natural cofiltration* of $H_2(A,\, N)$ which, in turn, gives rise to a *natural descending filtration*

$$H_2(A,\, N) = \mathrm{Ker}(\rho_{0*}) \supset \ldots \supset \mathrm{Ker}(\rho_{n*}) \supset \mathrm{Ker}(\rho_{n+1*}) \supset \ldots \supset 0 \tag{3.13}$$

Now, returning to polynomial (co)homology of groups, note that

$$P_nB_i(\mathbb{Z}[G]) = \mathbb{Z}[G]/\mathfrak{g}^{n+1} \otimes P_n(G)^{\otimes i-1} \otimes P_{n+1}(G),$$

whence $\rho_n^*P_nH^i(G,\, M)$ is the subgroup of $H^i(G,\, M)$ consisting of elements representable by multi-polynomial cocycles of degree $\leq n$ in the first $i - 1$ variables and of degree $\leq n+1$ in the last variable. For $i=2$, note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{Coker}(\mathbb{Z}\otimes\bar{\delta}_3 :\ \mathbb{Z}\otimes_G P_nB_3(\mathbb{Z}(G)) \to \mathbb{Z}\otimes_G P_nB_2(\mathbb{Z}(G))) \\ &\quad\cong\ P_n(G)\otimes_G P_{n+1}(G) \\ (3.14)\qquad &\quad\cong\ P_n(G)\otimes_G P_n(G) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, if M is a *trivial* G-module, $\rho_n^* P_n H^2(G,\, M)$ is the subgroup of $H^2(G,\, M)$ consisting of elements representable by bipolynomial cocycles of degree $\leq n$ in both variables; consequently, $P_n H^2(G,\, M)$ is isomorphic via ρ_n^* with the polynomial cohomology groups defined in [Pas68a].

We now wish to show that actually it is the filtration (3.13) which is intimately related to dimension subgroups. Denote by

$$\rho_{n*}^G = \rho_{n*} :\ H_2(G) \to P_n H_2(G),$$

abbreviating $H_2(G) = H_2(G,\, \mathbb{Z})$ and $P_nH_2(G) = P_nH_2(G,\, \mathbb{Z})$.

Lemma 3.7. *One has a commutative diagram with exact rows*

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & H_2(G) \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "\rho_{n*}^G"] & \mathfrak{g}\otimes_G\mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d, "\pi_n\otimes\pi_n"] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[d, "\pi_{n+1}"] \\ 0 \arrow[r] & P_nH_2(G) \arrow[r, "j_n"] & P_n(G)\otimes_G P_n(G) \arrow[r, "\mu_n"] & P_{n+1}(G), \end{tikzcd}$$

where μ, μ_n *are induced by multiplication in* $\mathfrak{g}$ *and* π_k *is the canonical projection.*

The above lemma easily follows from (3.14). We need to specify an isomorphism in the Hopf formula. We use the commutator conventions $[a,\, b] = aba^{-1}b^{-1}$ for $a,\, b\in G$ and $[x,\, y] = xy - yx$ for elements $x,\, y$ in some ring. As usual, $G' = \gamma_2(G)$. For the rest of this section, choose a free presentation

$$1 \to R \xrightarrow{i'} F \xrightarrow{q'} G \to 1$$

of G, where we consider R as a subgroup of F. It is often convenient to write $\bar{a} = q'(a)$ for $a\in F$.

Proposition 3.2. *An isomorphism*

$$\nu :\ R\cap F'/[F,\, R] \xrightarrow{\cong} H_2(G) = Ker(\mu :\ \mathfrak{g}\otimes_G\mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g})$$

is given by the composite map $R\cap F'/[F,\, R] \hookrightarrow [F,\, F]/[F,\, R] \xrightarrow{\nu'} \mathfrak{g}\otimes_G\mathfrak{g}$, *where for* $a,\, b\in F$

$$\begin{aligned} (3.15)\quad \nu'(\overline{[a,\, b]}) &= (\bar{a}-1)\otimes(\bar{b}-1)\bar{a}^{-1}\bar{b}^{-1} - (\bar{b}-1)\otimes(\bar{a}-1)\bar{a}^{-1}\bar{b}^{-1} \\ &= (\bar{a}-1)\otimes(\bar{b}-1) - (\bar{b}-1)\otimes(\bar{a}-1) + [\bar{a}-1\,,\, \bar{b}-1]\otimes(\bar{a}^{-1}\bar{b}^{-1}-1). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the following diagram of homomorphisms.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & R\cap F'/[F,\,R] \arrow[r, "\nu"] \arrow[d, "\iota"] & \mathfrak{g}\otimes_G \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d, "\mu'"] & \mathfrak{g}^2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\iota"] & 0 \\
1 \arrow[r] & R/[F,\,R] \arrow[r, "D\overline{i'}"] \arrow[d, two heads, "q"] & I\Big(F/[F,\,R]\,,\, R/[F,\,R]\Big) \arrow[r, "I(\overline{q'})"] \arrow[d, two heads, "\chi"] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, two heads, "\chi"] & 0 \\
1 \arrow[r] & R/R\cap F' \arrow[r, hook] & F/F' \arrow[r, "\overline{q'}"] & G/G' \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.16}$$

Here ι denotes the respective inclusions, q the natural projection, the maps χ send $\overline{a-1}$ to $a\Gamma'$ for $a\in\Gamma = F,\,G$, and $\mu'((\bar a-1)\otimes(\bar b-1)) = \overline{(a-1)(b-1)}$, $a,\,b\in F$, is well-defined by centrality of $R/[F,\,R]$. The diagram commutes; for the upper left hand square this follows from the identity $[a,\,b]-1 = [a-1,\,b-1]a^{-1}b^{-1}$ for $a,\,b\in F$. The middle and bottom row are exact, and so are the columns, thanks to the well-known isomorphism $\chi:\ P_1(\Gamma)\ \cong\ \Gamma/\Gamma'$ for any group Γ. This successively implies injectivity of μ', the relation

$$(D\overline{i'})^{-1}\mathrm{Im}(\mu') = R\cap F'/[F,\,R] \tag{3.17}$$

and exactness of the first row, as asserted. $\square$

Now we are ready for the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.8. *Let* $e:\ C \overset{i}{\hookrightarrow} E \overset{q}{\twoheadrightarrow} G$ *be a central group extension and suppose that* $\gamma_n(E)=1$. *Then*

$$D_n(E,\,C)\cap C = \kappa\Big(Ker(\rho^G_{n-2*}:\ H_2(G)\twoheadrightarrow P_{n-2}H_2(G))\Big)$$

where $\kappa:\ H_2(G)\to C$ *is adjoint to the cohomology class of* e *under the Kronecker pairing* $H^2(G,\,C)\times H_2(G)\to C$.

Proof. This is just an extension of the proof of Proposition 3.2. With the notations there we obtain a commutative diagram of central extensions

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & R/[F,\,R] \arrow[r, "\overline{i'}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_1"] & F/[F,\,R] \arrow[r, "\overline{q'}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_0"] & G \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r, "i"] & E \arrow[r, "q"] & G \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

by choosing any lifting α_0 of $\overline{q'}$. Then $\kappa = \alpha_1 \iota\nu^{-1}$. Consider the following commutative diagram with exact rows.

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H_2(G) \arrow[r, "j"] \arrow[d, "\iota\nu^{-1}"] & \mathfrak{g} \otimes_G \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d, "\mu'"] & \mathfrak{g}^2 \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\iota"] & 0 \\
1 \arrow[r] & R/[F,\, R] \arrow[r, "D\overline{i'}"] \arrow[d, "\alpha_1"] & I\Big(F/[F,\, R]\,,\, R/[F,\, R]\Big) \arrow[r, "I(\overline{q'})"] \arrow[d, "I(\alpha_0)"] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
1 \arrow[r] & C \arrow[r, "Di"] & I(E,\, C) \arrow[r, "I(q)"] & \mathfrak{g} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{3.18}$$

Note that $x \in H_2(G)$ lies in $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho^G_{n-2\,*})$ if and only if $j(x) \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{n-1})$. Thus $Di\kappa(\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho^G_{n-2\,*})) \subset P_n(E,\, C)$ and $\kappa(\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho^G_{n-2\,*})) \subset D_n(E,\, C)$.

Conversely, let $c \in D_n(E,\, C) \cap C$. Then there is $y \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^{n-1})$ such that $Di(c) = I(\alpha_0)\mu'(y)$. By exactness of the rows of the diagram (3.18) it follows that there is $z \in R/[F,\, R]$ such that $D\overline{i'}(z) = \mu'(y)$. By (3.17) there exists $x \in H_2(G)$ such that $\iota\nu^{-1}(x) = z$. Then $\mu' j(x) = \mu'(y)$, whence $j(x) = y$, since μ' is injective. Hence $x \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho^G_{n-2\,*})$. But $Di\kappa(x) = I(\alpha_0)\mu'(y) = Di(c)$, whence $c = \kappa(x) \in \kappa(\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho^G_{n-2\,*}))$, as desired. □

Corollary 3.9. *Let E be an $(n-1)$-step nilpotent group and C a central subgroup of E. Then $D_n(E,\, C) \cap C\gamma_{n-1}(E)$ is a homomorphic image of Ker$(\rho^G_{n-2\,*})$ for the $(n-2)$-step nilpotent group $G = E/C\gamma_{n-1}(E)$.*

In fact, $D_n(E,\, C) = D_n(E,\, C\gamma_{n-1}(E))$, since $\gamma_{n-1}(E) - 1 \subset \mathfrak{e}^{n-1}$.

As a first illustration of the method, we reprove a result known for a long time (see [Pas79]).

Corollary 3.10. *For any group Γ and $n \leq 3$, $D_n(\Gamma) = \gamma_n(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. We apply Corollary 3.9 to $E = \Gamma/\gamma_n(\Gamma)$. The case $n = 1$ is trivial. For $n = 2$, take $C = E$. Then $D_2(\Gamma)/\gamma_2(\Gamma) = D_2(E,\, E) \cap E$ is trivial as G is.

For $n = 3$, take $C = \gamma_2(E)$. Then

$$D_3(\Gamma)/\gamma_3(\Gamma) = D_3(E) = D_3(E,\, \gamma_2(E)) \cap \gamma_2(E),$$

since $\gamma_2(E) - 1 \subset \mathfrak{e}^2$ and $D_3(E) \subset D_2(E) = \gamma_2(E)$. Consider the maps

$$G \wedge G \xrightarrow{\phi} R \cap F'/[F,\, R] = F'/[F,\, R] \xrightarrow{\nu} \mathfrak{g} \otimes_G \mathfrak{g} \overset{\pi_1 \otimes \pi_1}{\twoheadrightarrow} P_1(G) \otimes_G P_1(G) = G \otimes G,$$

where ϕ is the classical isomorphism given by $j\phi(\bar{a}\wedge\bar{b}) = \overline{[a,\,b]}$ for $a,\,b \in F$. The composite map $(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_1)\nu\phi$ sends $a \wedge b$ to $a \otimes b - b \otimes a$ by (3.15); but this map is well-known to be injective so $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho^G_{1*}) = 0$ by Lemma 3.7. ☐

4. The fourth dimension quotient

We now consider the case $n = 4$ in Corollary 3.9. This leads to a simple description of the crucial group $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho^G_{2*})$ in terms of the exterior torsion square of $G^{ab} = G/G'$ which amounts to a nice proof of the well-known result that, for all groups Γ, the dimension quotient $D_4(\Gamma)/\gamma_4(\Gamma)$ is of exponent 2 (see [Pas79]).

For an Abelian group A, let $L(A)$, $T(A)$, and $S(A)$ denote the free Lie algebra, the tensor algebra and the symmetric algebra over A respectively. The natural maps of graded Abelian groups $L(A) \overset{l}{\rightarrowtail} T(A) \overset{s}{\twoheadrightarrow} S(A)$ are the injection into the universal enveloping algebra and the canonical projection, resp. Thus l_n sends an n-fold Lie bracket in $L_n(A)$ to the corresponding tensor commutator in $T_n(A) = A^{\otimes n}$. In particular, $L_2(A) = A \wedge A$ and $l_2(a \wedge b) = a \otimes b - b \otimes a$ for $a,\,b \in A$.

For the rest of this section, let G be a 2*-step nilpotent group*. The surjective homomorphism $c_2 : G^{ab} \wedge G^{ab} \twoheadrightarrow G'$ is defined by $c_2(\bar{a} \wedge \bar{b}) = [a,\,b]$ for $a,\,b \in G$. For $x \in G^{ab}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $mx = 0$, choose elements $\tilde{x} \in G$ and $f_m x \in G^{ab} \wedge G^{ab}$ such that $\tilde{x}G' = x$ and $c_2(f_m x) = \tilde{x}^m$.

The main ingredients for calculating $\mathrm{Ker}(\rho^G_{2*})$ are the structure theorems describing $H_2(G)$ and $P_2(G) \otimes_G P_2(G)$ for 2-step nilpotent G which we recall from [Har96b] and [Har95].

Theorem 4.1. *For 2-step nilpotent G there are natural exact sequences*

(4.1)

$$\mathrm{Tor}(G^{ab},\,G^{ab}) \xrightarrow{\delta} \frac{L_3(G^{ab})}{[G^{ab},\,Ker(c_2)] + V} \xrightarrow{\nu i} H_2(G) \xrightarrow{\sigma} G^{ab}\wedge G^{ab} \xrightarrow{c_2} G' \to 1,$$

$$\mathrm{Tor}(G^{ab},\,G^{ab}) \xrightarrow{\delta'} \frac{(G^{ab})^{\otimes 3}}{l_2 Ker(c_2) \otimes G^{ab} + G^{ab} \otimes l_2 Ker(c_2)} \xrightarrow{i'} P_2(G) \otimes_G P_2(G)$$

(4.2)

$$\xrightarrow{\sigma'} G^{ab}\otimes G^{ab} \to 0,$$

where

$$\delta(\tau_m(x_1,\,x_2)) = q\Big([x_1,\,f_m x_2] + [x_2,\,f_m x_1] + \binom{m}{2}[x_1 + x_2,\,[x_1,\,x_2]]\Big)$$

$$\delta'(\tau_m(x_1,\,x_2)) = q'\Big(x_1 \otimes (l_2 f_m x_2) - (l_2 f_m x_1) \otimes x_2 + \binom{m}{2}(x_1 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2 - x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_2)\Big)$$

with q, q' being the canonical projections, and

$$i[\bar{a},\,[\bar{b},\,\bar{c}]] = \overline{[a,\,[b,\,c]]},$$

$$\sigma:\ H_2(G) \underset{\nu^{-1}}{\xrightarrow{\cong}} \frac{R\cap F'}{[F,\,R]} \hookrightarrow \frac{[F,\,F]}{[F,\,R]} \xrightarrow{\nu''} G^{ab}\wedge G^{ab}$$

with

$$\nu''(\overline{[a,\,b]}) = \bar{a}\wedge\bar{b},$$
$$i'(\bar{a}\otimes\bar{b}\otimes\bar{c}) = \overline{(a-1)(b-1)}\otimes\overline{(c-1)},$$
$$\sigma'(\overline{(a-1)}\otimes\overline{(b-1)}) = \bar{a}\otimes\bar{b},$$

for $a,\,b,\,c\in G$. Finally, V denotes the subgroup of $L_3(G^{ab})$ generated by the elements $[x,\,f_{o(x)}x]$, where x ranges over the elements of finite even order $o(x)$ of G^{ab}. □

Note that for any torsion element x of G^{ab}, $\delta(\tau_{o(x)}(x,\,x)) = 2[x,\,f_{o(x)}x]$, so if $o(x)$ is odd, $[x,\,f_{o(x)}x]\in \mathrm{Im}(\delta)$. Thus V can be replaced by the subgroup V' generated by the elements $[x,\,f_{o(x)}x]$ for *any* torsion elements $x\in G^{ab}$. Now if $mx=0$ for $m\in\mathbb{Z}$, then $[x,\,f_mx]\in V'$; this shows that the map

$$\delta_1:\ G^{ab}\overset{\wedge}{*}G^{ab} \longrightarrow \frac{L_3(G^{ab})}{[G^{ab},\,\mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2)]+V+\mathrm{Im}(\delta)}$$

defined by $\delta_1(\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\,x_2)}) = \overline{[x_2,\,f_mx_1]}$ is well-defined. Moreover, define homomorphisms

$$G^{ab}\otimes G' \xleftarrow{\delta_2} G^{ab}\overset{\wedge}{*}G^{ab} \xrightarrow{\delta_3} SP^3(G^{ab})$$

by $\delta_2(\,\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\,x_2)}\,) = x_1\otimes\tilde{x}_2^k - x_2\otimes\tilde{x}_1^k$ and $\delta_3(\,\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\,x_2)}\,) = \binom{m}{2}s_3(x_1\otimes x_1\otimes x_2 - x_1\otimes x_2\otimes x_2)$.

Our main result of this section is the following:

Theorem 4.2. *For every 2-step nilpotent group G,*

$$Ker(\rho^G_{2*}) = \overline{\nu i}\delta_1(Ker(\delta_2)\cap Ker(\delta_3))$$

We note that this result, combined with Corollary 3.9, leads to the construction of a multi-parameter family of examples of groups with non-trivial fourth dimension quotient [Hara].

Before proving the theorem, we note an immediate consequence which in view of Corollaries 3.9 and 3.10 reproves the known result stating that $D_4(E,\,C)/\gamma_4(E)$ is of exponent 1 or 2.

Corollary 4.3. *For every 2-step nilpotent group G,*

$$2Ker(\rho^G_{2*}) = 0 \text{ and } Ker(\rho^G_{2*}) \subset 2\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\nu i}) \subset 2H_2(G).$$

Proof. Let $\beta : G^{ab} \otimes G' \to \dfrac{L_3(G^{ab})}{[G^{ab},\, \mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2)] + V + \mathrm{Im}(\delta)}$ be the factorisation of the bracket map $G^{ab} \otimes L_2(G^{ab}) \to L_3(G^{ab})$ followed by the projection through $1 \otimes c_2 : G^{ab} \otimes L_2(G^{ab}) \twoheadrightarrow G^{ab} \otimes G'$. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
-\beta\delta_2(\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)}) &= \overline{-[x_1,\, f_m x_2] + [x_2,\, f_m x_1]} \\
&= \delta_1(\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)} - \overline{\tau_m(x_2,\, x_1)}) \\
&= \delta_1(2\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)})
\end{aligned}$$

whence $2\delta_1 = -\beta\delta_2$ and $2\delta_1(\mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_2) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_3)) = 0$. The remaining relations are immediate from Theorem 4.2 and Lemma 4.4 below. □

Lemma 4.4. *The subgroup Ker*(δ_3) *of* $G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab}$ *is generated by the elements* $\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\, 2x_2)}$, $x_1,\, x_2 \in G^{ab}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ *such that* $mx_1 = 2mx_2 = 0$.

Proof. It is clear that the indicated elements are in $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_3)$. To prove the converse, we may assume that G^{ab} is a finite 2-group. Let $G^{ab} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_i}\cdot x_i$, $1 \le r_i \le r_j$ if $i \le j$, be a decomposition of G^{ab} into cyclic factors generated by elements x_i. Then $G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab} = \bigoplus_{1\le i<j\le n} \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_i}\overline{\tau_{2^{r_i}}(x_i,\, 2^{r_j-r_i}x_j)}$. One has

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_3\overline{\tau_{2^{r_i}}(x_i,\, 2^{r_j-r_i}x_j)} &= 2^{r_i-1}(2^{r_i}-1)s_3\big(x_i \otimes x_i \otimes 2^{r_j-r_i}x_j - x_i \otimes 2^{r_j-r_i}x_j \otimes 2^{r_j-r_i}x_j\big) \\
&= 2^{r_j-1}s_3(x_i \otimes x_i \otimes x_j) - 2^{2r_j-r_i-1}s_3(x_i \otimes x_j \otimes x_j)
\end{aligned}$$

So $\delta_3\overline{\tau_{2^{r_i}}(x_i,\, 2^{r_j-r_i}x_j)} = 0$ if $r_i < r_j$. As $SP^3(G^{ab}) = \bigoplus_{1\le i\le j\le k\le n} \mathbb{Z}/2^{r_i} \cdot s_3(x_i \otimes x_j \otimes x_k)$ we see that the above cyclic factors of $G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab}$ map into different direct components of $SP^3(G^{ab})$ under δ_3; hence $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_3)$ is generated by the elements $\overline{\tau_{2^{r_i}}(x_i,\, 2^{r_j-r_i}x_j)}$, $r_i < r_j$, and $2\overline{\tau_{2^{r_i}}(x_i,\, x_j)} = \overline{\tau_{2^{r_i}}(x_i,\, 2x_j)}$, $r_i = r_j$. □

Proof of Theorem 4.2 : Consider the following diagram.
(4.3)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Tor}(G^{ab},\, G^{ab}) \oplus V'' \arrow[r, "\delta_V"] \arrow[d, "t"] & \dfrac{L_3(G^{ab})}{K} \arrow[r, "\nu i"] \arrow[d, "\overline{l_3}"] & H_2(G) \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "\rho_{2*}^G"] & \mathrm{Ker}(c_2) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "l_2"] & 0 \\
\mathrm{Tor}(G^{ab},\, G^{ab}) \arrow[r, "\delta'"] \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi"] & \dfrac{(G^{ab})^{\otimes 3}}{K'} \arrow[r, "i'"] \arrow[d, two heads, "\pi'"] & P_2(G) \otimes_G P_2(G) \arrow[r, "\sigma'"] \arrow[d, equal] & G^{ab} \otimes G^{ab} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & 0 \\
G^{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G^{ab} \arrow[r, "\overline{\delta'}"] & \mathrm{Coker}(\overline{l_3}\delta_V) \arrow[r, "\overline{i'}"] & P_2(G) \otimes_G P_2(G) \arrow[r, "\sigma'"] & G^{ab} \otimes G^{ab} \arrow[r] & 0 \\
\mathrm{Ker}(\delta_2) \cap \mathrm{Ker}(\delta_3) \arrow[r, "\delta_1"] \arrow[u, "j"] & \dfrac{L_3(G^{ab})}{K + \mathrm{Im}(\delta_V)} \arrow[r, tail, "\overline{\nu i}"] \arrow[u, "\overline{\overline{l_3}}"] & H_2(G) \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[u, "\rho_{2*}^G"] & \mathrm{Ker}(c_2) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "l_2"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here V'' is the free Abelian group generated by elements $[x]$ where x runs through the torsion elements of G^{ab}. Moreover, $K = [G^{ab},\, \mathrm{Ker}(c_2)]$ and $K' = l_2\mathrm{Ker}(c_2) \otimes G^{ab} + G^{ab} \otimes l_2\mathrm{Ker}(c_2)$. The map δ_V is δ on $\mathrm{Tor}(G^{ab},\, G^{ab})$ and sends $[x]$ to $[x,\, f_{o(x)}x]$, while t sends $\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)$ to $\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)+\tau_m(x_2,\, x_1)$ and $[x]$ to $\tau_{o(x)}(x,\, x)$. The maps $\pi,\, \pi'$ are the canonical projections while j is the canonical injection.

It is straightforward to check that the three upper squares commute; and the two upper rows of diagram (4.3) are exact by Theorem 4.1. This implies that $\overline{\delta'}$ and $\overline{i'}$ are well-defined, that the third row is exact, too, and that all squares commute, except possibly the one in the bottom left-hand corner. By injectivity of l_2 we have

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_{2*}^G) = \overline{\nu i}\,\overline{\overline{l_3}}^{\,-1}(\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\delta'}) \cap \mathrm{Im}(\overline{l_3})) \tag{4.4}$$

Now we recall the well-known natural exact sequence for any Abelian group A (which is a natural version of the Poincaré-Birckhoff-Witt decomposition of $A^{\otimes 3}$)

$$0 \longrightarrow L_3(A) \oplus A \otimes (A \wedge A) \overset{(l_3,\, 1\otimes l_2)}{\longrightarrow} A^{\otimes 3} \overset{s_3}{\longrightarrow} SP^3(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

which for $A = G^{ab}$ successively induces the following exact sequences natural in G

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{L_3(A)}{[G^{ab},\, \mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2)]} \oplus \left(G^{ab} \otimes \frac{(G^{ab} \wedge G^{ab})}{\mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2)}\right) \overset{(\overline{l_3},\, \overline{1\otimes l_2})}{\longrightarrow} \frac{(G^{ab})^{\otimes 3}}{K'} \overset{\overline{s_3}}{\longrightarrow} SP^3(A) \longrightarrow 0$$

(4.5)

$$0 \to \frac{L_3(A)}{[G^{ab},\, \mathrm{Ker}\,(c_2)] + \mathrm{Im}(\delta_V)} \oplus (G^{ab} \otimes G') \overset{(\overline{\overline{l_3}},\, \pi\overline{1\otimes l_2})}{\longrightarrow} \mathrm{Coker}(\overline{l_3}\,\delta_V) \overset{\overline{\overline{s_3}}}{\longrightarrow} SP^3(A) \to 0$$

One has $\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\delta'}) \cap \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\overline{l_3}}) \subset \overline{\delta'}\mathrm{Ker}\,(\overline{\overline{s_3}}\,\overline{\delta'})$. But $\overline{\overline{s_3}}\,\overline{\delta'} = \delta_3$, and on $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_3)$, $\overline{\delta'}$ coincides with the map δ'' sending $\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)}$ to $\pi q'([x_2,\, l_2 f_m x_1] + x_1 \otimes l_2 f_m x_2 - x_2 \otimes l_2 f_m x_1) = (\overline{\overline{l_3}}\delta_1 + \pi\overline{1 \otimes l_2}\delta_2)(\,\overline{\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)}\,)$ since we can write δ' as $\delta'(\tau_m(x_1,\, x_2)) = [x_2,\, l_2 f_m x_1] + x_1 \otimes l_2 f_m x_2 - x_2 \otimes l_2 f_m x_1 + \binom{m}{2}(x_1 \otimes x_1 \otimes x_2 - x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes x_2)$, and by Lemma 4.4. Thus the square in bottom left-hand corner of diagram (4.3) commutes. By sequence (4.5), $\mathrm{Im}(\overline{\delta'}) \cap \mathrm{Im}(\overline{\overline{l_3}}) = \overline{\overline{l_3}}\delta_1(\mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_3) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_2))$, whence $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho^G_{2*}) = \overline{\nu i}\,\delta_1(\mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_3) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,(\delta_2))$ by injectivity of $\overline{\overline{l_3}}$, as asserted. □

5. TWO SPECTRAL SEQUENCES

Let Gr denote the category of groups. Using simplicial homotopy theory, for every functor $T : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Gr}$, one can define its left derived functors $\mathcal{L}_q T : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Gr}$ (see [Keu73]). If $\mathbf{F} \to G$ is a free simplicial resolution of G, then $\mathcal{L}_q T(G) = \pi_q(T(\mathbf{F}))$, the q-th homotopy group of the simplicial group $T(\mathbf{F})$.

For $G \in \mathsf{Gr}$, as usual, let $\{\gamma_n(G)\}_{n \geq 1}$ denote its lower central series. We then have functors

$$\mathit{\Gamma}_n : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Gr}, \quad \mathfrak{L}_n : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Gr}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

given by $\mathit{\Gamma}_n(G) = G/\gamma_n(G)$, $\mathfrak{L}_n(G) = \gamma_n(G)/\gamma_{n+1}(G)$, which extend naturally to the category sGr of simplicial groups. In view of the natural exact sequences

$$1 \to \mathfrak{L}_n(G) \to \mathit{\Gamma}_{n+1}(G) \to \mathit{\Gamma}_n(G) \to 1, \quad n \geq 1, \quad G \in \mathsf{Gr},$$

we have a homotopy exact couple associated with the exact sequences

$$1 \to \mathfrak{L}_n(\mathbf{F}) \to \mathit{\Gamma}_{n+1}(\mathbf{F}) \to \mathit{\Gamma}_n(\mathbf{F}) \to 1, \quad n \geq 1,$$

of simplicial groups. We thus have a spectral sequence $E(G) := \{E^r_{p,\,q}(G)\}$, with

$$E^1_{p,\,q}(G) = \mathcal{L}_q \mathfrak{L}_p(G),$$

and the differential d^r having degree $(r,\, -1)$.

Let $\mathfrak{P}_n : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Gr}$ and $\mathfrak{Q}_n : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Gr}$ be the functors defined by

$$\mathfrak{P}_n(G) = \mathbb{Z}[G]/\mathfrak{g}^n, \quad \mathfrak{Q}_n(G) = \mathfrak{g}^n/\mathfrak{g}^{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1,$$

We then have natural exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathfrak{Q}_n(G) \to \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}(G) \to \mathfrak{P}_n(G) \to 0, \quad n \geq 1,$$

The homotopy exact couple associated with the induced exact sequences

$$0 \to \mathfrak{Q}_n(\mathbf{F}) \to \mathfrak{P}_{n+1}(\mathbf{F}) \to \mathfrak{P}_n(\mathbf{F}) \to 0, \quad n \geq 1,$$

yield another spectral sequence $\overline{E}(G) := \overline{E}^r_{p,\,q}(G)$ with

$$\overline{E}^1_{p,\,q}(G) = \mathcal{L}_q \mathfrak{Q}_p(G),$$

and the differential $\overline{d}^r$ again having bidegree $(r, -1)$. There exists a natural homomorphism

$$\kappa : E(G) \to \overline{E}(G)$$

induced by the canonical injection $\kappa : G \to \mathbb{Z}[G],\ g \mapsto g-1,\ g \in G$. It is known that

$$E^{\infty}_{n,0}(G) = \gamma_n(G)/\gamma_{n+1}(G),\ \ \overline{E}^{\infty}_{n,0}(G) = \mathfrak{g}^n/\mathfrak{g}^{n+1},\ n \geq 1.$$

For more details about these two spectral sequences, see [Gru80].

It is clear (see [Kan58]) that

$$E^1_{1,q}(G) = \overline{E}^1_{1,q}(G) = H_{q+1}(G),\ q \geq 0.$$

The above spectral sequences define certain filtrations in group homology:

$$H_{m+1}(G) = E^1_{1,m}(G) \supseteq E^2_{1,m}(G) \supseteq E^3_{1,m} \supseteq \dots$$
$$H_{m+1}(G) = \overline{E}^1_{1,m}(G) \supseteq \overline{E}^2_{1,m}(G) \supseteq \overline{E}^3_{1,m} \supseteq \dots$$

It may be observed that, for the case $m = 1$, these filtrations are the Dwyer's filtration [Dwy75] and the dual of the filtration studied by Passi-Stammbach [Pas74] respectively, the latter being the same as the filtration (3.13).

The initial terms. Let $1 \to R \to F \to G \to 1$ be a free presentation of the group G, and $\mathbf{F} \to G$ a free simplicial resolution of G with $F_0 = F$.

We make the following standard notations:

$$\begin{aligned} &R(0) = R,\\ &R(k+1) = [R(k), F],\ k \geq 0,\\ &\mathbf{r}(0) = (R-1)\mathbb{Z}[F],\\ &\mathbf{r}(k+1) = \mathfrak{f}\mathbf{r}(k) + \mathbf{r}(k)\mathfrak{f},\ k \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that for every $k \geq 1$ and $x \in R(k)$, one has $1 - x \in \mathbf{r}(k)$. Since Lie functors and universal enveloping functors preserve coequalizers, direct simplicial computations imply that

$$E^1_{n,0}(G) = \gamma_n(F)/R(n-1)\gamma_{n+1}(F),\ n \geq 1,$$
$$\overline{E}^1_{n,0}(G) = \mathfrak{f}^n/(\mathbf{r}(n-1) + \mathfrak{f}^{n+1}),\ n \geq 1,$$

and the map κ is a monomorphism on the lower level [Gru80]:

$$\kappa^1_{n,0} : E^1_{n,0}(G) \hookrightarrow \overline{E}^1_{n,0}(G),\ n \geq 1. \tag{5.1}$$

This implies the following fact which is due to Sjögren [Sjo79]:

Theorem 5.1. *For all* $n \geq 1$,

$$F \cap (\mathbf{r}(n-1) + \mathfrak{f}^{n+1}) = R(n-1)\gamma_{n+1}(F),\ n \geq 1.$$

The initial terms of $\overline{E}(G)$ can be described by standard simplicial arguments using the Künneth formula and the Eilenberg-Zilber equivalence. In particular, there exists the following exact sequence

$$0 \to (H_1(G) \otimes H_2(G))^{\oplus 2} \to \overline{E}^1_{2,1}(G) \to Tor(H_1(G),\, H_1(G)) \to 0 \tag{5.2}$$

and, in general,

$$0 \to T_n(G) \to \overline{E}^1_{n,1}(G) \to Tor_1(\underbrace{H_1(G),\, \dots,\, H_1(G)}_{n \text{ terms}}) \to 0. \tag{5.3}$$

where $T_1(G) = H_2(G)$ and $T_{k+1}(G) = H_1(G) \otimes T_k(G) \oplus T_k(G) \otimes H_1(G),\ k \geq 1$ and for Abelian groups $B_1, \dots, B_n$, the group $Tor_i(B_1, \dots, B_n)$ denotes the i-th homology group of the complex $P_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes P_n$, where P_j is a $\mathbb{Z}$-flat resolution of B_j for $j = 1, \dots, n$. We clearly have

$$Tor_0(B_1, \dots, B_n) = B_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes B_n,\ Tor_i(B_1, \dots, B_n) = 0,\ i \geq n.$$

For a description of the initial terms of the spectral sequence $E(G)$, one needs more complicated theory of derived functors of polynomial functors. In the quadratic case such a theory was developed by Baues and Pirashvili [Bau00]; their theory implies that the terms $E^1_{2,m}$ $(m \geq 0)$ can be described explicitly. Let X be a simplicial group which is free Abelian in each degree. Then there exists [[Bau00], (4.1)] a natural short exact sequence of graded Abelian groups

$$0 \to Sq^{\otimes}(\pi_*(X)) \to \pi_*(\wedge^2 X) \to Sq^{\star}(\pi_*(X))[-1] \to 0 \tag{5.4}$$

where $\pi_*(X)$ and $\pi_*(\wedge^2 X)$ are the graded homotopy groups of X and $\wedge^2 X$ respectively. The sequence (5.4) gives the following functorial description of the term $E^1_{2,1}$:

There exists a natural short exact sequence:

$$0 \to H_1(G) \otimes H_2(G) \to E^1_{2,1}(G) \to \Omega(H_1(G)) \to 0. \tag{5.5}$$

Dimension quotients. Clearly, for every $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq k \leq n-1$ one has the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^k_{n-k,1}(G) \arrow[r, "d^k_{n-k,1}"] \arrow[d, "\kappa^k_{n-k,1}"'] & E^k_{n,0}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^k_{n,0}"'] & E^{k+1}_{n,0}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^{k+1}_{n,0}"'] & 0 \\
\overline{E}^k_{n-k,1}(G) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}^k_{n-k,1}"] & \overline{E}^k_{n,0}(G) \arrow[r] & \overline{E}^{k+1}_{n,0}(G) \arrow[r] & 0.
\end{tikzcd}$$

which has the form

(5.6)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^1_{n-1,\,1}(G) \arrow[r, "d^1_{n-1,\,1}"] \arrow[d, "\kappa^1_{n-1,\,1}"'] & E^1_{n,\,0}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^1_{n,\,0}"'] & E^2_{n,\,0}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^2_{n,\,0}"'] & 0 \\
\overline{E}^1_{n-1,\,1}(G) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}^1_{n-1,\,1}"] & \overline{E}^1_{n,\,0}(G) \arrow[r] & \overline{E}^2_{n,\,0}(G) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

for $k = 1$ and

(5.7)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
E^{n-1}_{1,\,1}(G) \arrow[r, "d^{n-1}_{1,\,1}"] \arrow[d, "\kappa^{n-1}_{1,\,1}"'] & E^{n-1}_{n,\,0}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^{n-1}_{n,\,0}"'] & \gamma_n(G)/\gamma_{n+1}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^{n}_{n,\,0}"'] & 0 \\
\overline{E}^{n-1}_{1,\,1}(G) \arrow[r, "\overline{d}^{n-1}_{1,\,1}"] & \overline{E}^{n-1}_{n,\,0}(G) \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{g}^n/\mathfrak{g}^{n+1} \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

for $k = n-1$. Diagrams (5.6) and (5.7) together with the snake lemma imply the following exact sequences of Abelian groups:

(5.8)
$$0 \to \mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^2_{n,0}) \to \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{Im}(d^1_{n-1,1}) \to \mathrm{Im}(\overline{d}^1_{n-1,1})) \to \mathrm{Coker}(\kappa^1_{n,0}) \to \mathrm{Coker}(\kappa^2_{n,0}) \to 0$$

and

(5.9)
$$0 \to \mathrm{Ker}\{\mathrm{Im}(d^{n-1}_{1,1}) \to \mathrm{Im}(\overline{d}^{n-1}_{1,1})\} \to \mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^{n-1}_{n,0}) \to (\gamma_n(G) \cap D_{n+1}(G))/\gamma_{n+1}(G) \to$$
$$\mathrm{Coker}\{\mathrm{Im}(d^{n-1}_{1,1}) \to \mathrm{Im}(\overline{d}^{n-1}_{1,1})\} \to \mathrm{Coker}(\kappa^{n-1}_{n,0}) \to \mathrm{Coker}(\kappa^{n}_{n,0}) \to 0$$

As a result we obtain the following diagram which we will use later:

(5.10)
$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^2_{n,\,0}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, tail] & \mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^3_{n,\,0}) \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^{n-1}_{n,\,0}) \arrow[d] \\
\mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{Im}(d^1_{n-1,1}) \to \mathrm{Im}(\overline{d}^1_{n-1,1})) & & & \frac{\gamma_n(G) \cap D_{n+1}(G)}{\gamma_{n+1}(G)}
\end{tikzcd}$$

For an Abelian group A, let $L(A)$ denote the free Lie algebra on A, and let $L_n(A)$, $n \geq 0$, be its n-th homogeneous component; we can view L_n as an endo-functor on the category Ab of Abelian groups. For any endo-functor $F : \mathsf{Ab} \to \mathsf{Ab}$, let $\mathcal{D}_i F$, $i \geq 0$, denote the derived functor $\mathcal{L}_i F(-,\, 0)$ in the sense of Dold-Puppe [Dol61]. Clearly, the map $\kappa^1_{n-1,1}$ can be presented in a natural diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & K_{n-1}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E^1_{n-1,\,1}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^1_{n-1,\,1}"'] & \mathcal{D}_1 L_n(G_{ab}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & T_{n-1}(G) \arrow[r] & \overline{E}^1_{n-1,\,1}(G) \arrow[r] & \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes^n (G_{ab}) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

for some functors $K_{n-1},\ T_{n-1} : \mathsf{Gr} \to \mathsf{Gr}$. We set

$$^1E^1_{n,0}(G) = \operatorname{Coker}(K_{n-1}(G) \overset{d^1_{n-1,1}}{\to} E^1_{n,0})(G),$$

and

$$^1\overline{E}^1_{n,0}(G) = \operatorname{Coker}(T_{n-1}(G) \overset{\overline{d}^1_{n-1,1}}{\to} \overline{E}^1_{n,0}(G)).$$

In this notation, we obtain the following natural diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_1L_{n-1}(G_{ab}) \arrow[r, "^1d^1_{n-1,1}"] \arrow[d] & {^1E^1_{n,0}(G)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "^1\kappa^1_{n,0}"'] & E^2_{n,0}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^2_{n,0}"'] & 0 \\
\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes^{n-1} (G_{ab}) \arrow[r, "^1\overline{d}^1_{n-1,1}"] & {^1\overline{E}^1_{n,0}(G)} \arrow[r] & \overline{E}^2_{n,0}(G) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where the maps $^1d^1_{n-1,1}$ and $^1\overline{d}^1_{n-1,1}$ are induced by the maps $d^1_{n-1,1}$ and $\overline{d}^1_{n-1,1}$ respectively.

Define the functor $S_n : \mathsf{Ab} \to \mathsf{Ab}$ by setting

$$S_n(A) = \operatorname{Coker}(L_n(A) \to \otimes^n(A)),\ A \in \mathsf{Ab}.$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{D}_1S_n(A) = \operatorname{Coker}(\mathcal{D}_1L_n(A) \to \mathcal{D}_1 \otimes^n (A))$. In this notation, snake lemma implies the following analog of the diagram (5.10):
(5.11)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Ker}(^1\kappa^1_{n,\,0}) \arrow[d] & & & \\
\operatorname{Ker}(\kappa^2_{n,\,0}) \arrow[r] \arrow[dd] & \operatorname{Ker}(\kappa^3_{n,\,0}) \arrow[r] & \cdots \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Ker}(\kappa^{n-1}_{n,\,0}) \arrow[d] \\
 & & V_{n-1}(G) \arrow[ull, dashed] \arrow[dl] \arrow[d] & \frac{\gamma_n(G)\cap D_{n+1}(G)}{\gamma_{n+1}(G)} \arrow[dl, two heads] \\
\operatorname{Coker}(\xi_{n-1}) \arrow[d] & \mathcal{D}_1S_{n-1}(G_{ab}) \arrow[l, two heads] \arrow[dl] & \frac{\gamma_n(G)\cap D_{n+1}(G)}{\gamma_{n+1}(G).\operatorname{Im}(\operatorname{Ker}(^1\kappa^1_{n,\,0}))} & \\
\operatorname{Coker}(^1\kappa^1_{n,0}) & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where $\xi_{n-1} : \operatorname{Im}(^1d^1_{n-1,1}) \to \operatorname{Im}(^1\overline{d}^1_{n-1,1})$ and $V_{n-1}(G)$ is the kernel of the composition of the natural maps $\mathcal{D}_1S_{n-1}(G_{ab}) \to \operatorname{Coker}(\xi) \to \operatorname{Coker}(^1\kappa^1_{n,\,0})$. [The dotted arrow from A to B in the diagram above, and also later in diagram 8.4, means that there is a map $A \to B/C$ for some subgroup C.] Hence, for every group G

and $n \geq 3$, there is a natural subgroup of $\mathcal{D}_1 S_{n-1}(G_{ab})$, namely $V_{n-1}(G)$, which maps canonically to the quotient $\frac{\gamma_n(G)\cap D_{n+1}(G)}{\gamma_{n+1}(G).\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Ker}(^1\kappa_{n,0}))}$. Denote this map by

$$v_n : V_{n-1}(G) \to \frac{\gamma_n(G) \cap D_{n+1}(G)}{\gamma_{n+1}(G).\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Ker}(^1\kappa^1_{n,0}))}$$

Remark. It may be noted that, heuristically speaking, the contribution of the functor $\mathcal{D}_1 S_n(G_{ab})$ to the structure of the $(n+1)$-st dimension quotient becomes smaller and smaller as n increases.

Remark. The map $\overline{d}^1_{n-1,1} : T_{n-1}(G) \to \overline{E}^1_{n,0}(G)$ can be described explicitly (see, for example, the description of $\overline{d}^1_{1,1}$ in the Section 7) and the exact sequence (5.3) implies that

$$\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Ker}(^1\kappa^1_{n,0})) = R\gamma_n(F) \cap (1 + (R \cap F' - 1)(n-2) + \mathfrak{f}^{n+1})/R\gamma_{n+1}(F)$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Ker}(^1\kappa^1_{n,0})) = 0$, provided $H_2(G) = 0$ by Theorem 5.1.

We analyse the map v_n for the case $n = 3$. Observe that

$$\mathcal{D}_1 S_2(G_{ab}) = G_{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G_{ab}.$$

We need an identification theorem which we present in the next section.

6. An identification theorem

Theorem 6.1. *If F is a free group and R a normal subgroup of F, then*

$$F \cap (1 + \mathfrak{f}(R \cap F' - 1) + (R \cap F' - 1)\mathfrak{f} + \mathbf{r}(2) + \mathfrak{f}^4) = [R \cap F',\, F]\gamma_4(F),$$

where F' is the derived subgroup of F.

We need the following

Lemma 6.2. *Let F be a free group with a basis $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$, u an element of $(F' - 1)\mathfrak{f}$, such that*

$$w - 1 \equiv u + c.v \mod \mathfrak{f}^4,$$

for some $c > 0$ and $w \in \gamma_3(F)$, $v \in \mathfrak{f}^3$. Then

$$u \equiv c.v_1 \mod \mathfrak{f}^4,$$

where $v_1 \in (F' - 1)\mathfrak{f}$.

Proof. We can view $\mathfrak{f}^3/\mathfrak{f}^4$ as a free Abelian group isomorphic to $F_{ab}^{\otimes 3}$ with a basis $\{x_i \otimes x_j \otimes x_k \mid i,\, j,\, k = 1, \ldots, m\}$. Modulo $\mathfrak{f}^4$, the group $(F' - 1)\mathfrak{f}$ is generated by elements

$$([x_i, x_j] - 1)(x_k - 1),\ i,\, j,\, k = 1, \ldots, m.$$

Let

$$u \equiv \sum_{i,\,j,\,k} d_{i,\,j,\,k}([x_i,\,x_j]-1)(x_k-1) \mod \mathfrak{f}^4.$$

For a given triple $(i,\,j,\,k)$, the sum of all coefficients in u, which contribute to $x_i \otimes x_j \otimes x_k$ (and S_3-permutations) must be divided by c. We have in $\mathfrak{f}^3/\mathfrak{f}^4$:

$$\begin{aligned}
([x_i,\,x_j]-1)(x_k-1) &\mapsto x_i \otimes x_j \otimes x_k - x_j \otimes x_i \otimes x_k,\\
([x_k,\,x_i]-1)(x_j-1) &\mapsto x_k \otimes x_i \otimes x_j - x_i \otimes x_k \otimes x_j,\\
([x_j,\,x_k]-1)(x_i-1) &\mapsto x_j \otimes x_k \otimes x_i - x_k \otimes x_j \otimes x_i
\end{aligned}$$

and no more terms can contribute to $x_i \otimes x_j \otimes x_k$ (and permutations). Clearly only the product of commutators of the form $[x_i,\,x_j,\,x_k]$ and $[x_k,\,x_i,\,x_j]$ with different powers can contribute from the element w. We have:

$$1-[x_i,\,x_j,\,x_k]^{f_{i,\,j,\,k}} \mapsto f_{i,\,j,\,k}(x_i \otimes x_j \otimes x_k - x_j \otimes x_i \otimes x_k + x_k \otimes x_j \otimes x_i - x_k \otimes x_i \otimes x_j)$$

$$1-[x_k,\,x_i,\,x_j]^{f_{k,\,i,\,j}} \mapsto f_{k,\,i,\,j}(x_k \otimes x_i \otimes x_j - x_i \otimes x_k \otimes x_j + x_j \otimes x_i \otimes x_k - x_j \otimes x_k \otimes x_i)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(6.1)\quad & d_{i,\,j,\,k}(x_i \otimes x_j \otimes x_k - x_j \otimes x_i \otimes x_k)+\\
& d_{k,\,i,\,j}(x_k \otimes x_i \otimes x_j - x_i \otimes x_k \otimes x_j) + d_{j,\,k,\,i}(x_j \otimes x_k \otimes x_i - x_k \otimes x_j \otimes x_i)+\\
& f_{i,\,j,\,k}(x_i \otimes x_j \otimes x_k - x_j \otimes x_i \otimes x_k + x_k \otimes x_j \otimes x_i - x_k \otimes x_i \otimes x_j)+\\
& f_{k,\,i,\,j}(x_k \otimes x_i \otimes x_j - x_i \otimes x_k \otimes x_j + x_j \otimes x_i \otimes x_k - x_j \otimes x_k \otimes x_i)\\
& = x_i \otimes x_j \otimes x_k(d_{i,\,j,\,k}+f_{i,\,j,\,k}) + x_j \otimes x_i \otimes x_k(-d_{i,\,j,\,k}-f_{i,\,j,\,k}+f_{k,\,i,\,j})+\\
& x_k \otimes x_i \otimes x_j(d_{k,\,i,\,j}-f_{i,\,j,\,k}+f_{k,\,i,\,j}) + x_i \otimes x_k \otimes x_j(-d_{k,\,i,\,j}-f_{k,\,i,\,j})+\\
& x_j \otimes x_k \otimes x_i(d_{j,\,k,\,i}-f_{k,\,i,\,j}) + x_k \otimes x_j \otimes x_i(-d_{j,\,k,\,i}+f_{i,\,j,\,k}) =\\
& \qquad c.v(x_i,\,x_j,\,x_k),
\end{aligned}$$

for some element $v(x_i,\,x_j,\,x_k)$ from $\mathfrak{f}^3/\mathfrak{f}^4$. Suppose first that all $i,\,j,\,k$ are different. Then (6.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{i,\,j,\,k}+f_{i,\,j,\,k},\ -d_{i,\,j,\,k}-f_{i,\,j,\,k}+f_{k,\,i,\,j},\ d_{k,\,i,\,j}-f_{i,\,j,\,k}+f_{k,\,i,\,j},\\
& \qquad -d_{k,\,i,\,j}-f_{k,\,i,\,j},\ d_{j,\,k,\,i}-f_{k,\,i,\,j},\ -d_{j,\,k,\,i}+f_{i,\,j,\,k}
\end{aligned}$$

must be divided by c. Therefore, all $d_{i,\,j,\,k}, d_{k,\,i,\,j},\ d_{j,\,k,\,i},\ f_{i,\,j,\,k}, f_{k,\,i,\,j}$ must be divisible by c.

Suppose $i=j$. Then we reduce all the expression to the element $d_{i,\,k,\,i}([x_i,\,x_k]-1)(x_i-1)$ and a bracket $1-[x_i,\,x_k,\,x_i]^{f_{i,\,k,\,i}}$. We then have

$$-f_{i,\,k,\,i}x_i \otimes x_i \otimes x_k + (2f_{i,\,k,\,i}+d_{i,\,k,\,i})x_i \otimes x_k \otimes x_i + (-f_{i,\,k,\,i}-d_{i,\,k,\,i})x_k \otimes x_i \otimes x_i = c.v(x_i,\,x_k)$$

and we again conclude that $d_{i,\,k,\,i}$ and $f_{i,\,k,\,i}$ must be divisible by c. □

Next, let $w \in \gamma_3(F)$ and

$$w-1 \equiv u \mod \mathfrak{f}^4,$$

where

$$u \in (R \cap F' - 1)\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}(R \cap F' - 1) + \mathfrak{r}(2).$$

We claim that

$$w = w_1 w_2 \mod [R \cap F',\, F][R,\, F,\, F]\gamma_4(F),$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &w_1 - 1 \in (R \cap F' - 1)\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}(R \cap F' - 1) + \mathfrak{f}^4, \\ &w_2 - 1 \in \mathbf{r}(2) + \mathfrak{f}^4, \end{aligned}$$

that is, we can divide the problem of identification of the subgroup

$$F \cap (1 + (R \cap F' - 1)\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}(R \cap F' - 1) + \mathbf{r}(2) + \mathfrak{f}^4)$$

into two parts:

(*i*) identification of

$$F \cap (1 + (R \cap F' - 1)\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}(R \cap F' - 1) + \mathfrak{f}^4)$$

and

(*ii*) identification of

$$F \cap (1 + \mathbf{r}(2) + \mathfrak{f}^4)$$

Let $u = u_1 + u_2$, where

$$u_1 \in (R \cap F' - 1)\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}(R \cap F' - 1),\ u_2 \in \mathbf{r}(2).$$

Since we can work modulo $[R \cap F',\, F]$, we can assume that

$$u_1 \in (R \cap F' - 1)\mathfrak{f}.$$

Now, using the argument of Gupta [Gup87, Lemma 1.5(B), p.$\dot{7}$2], we can easily conclude that

$$u_2 \equiv e_m.v_2 \mod \mathfrak{f}^4,$$

where v_2 involves the element x_m. Hence, by Lemma 6.2, we conclude that $u_1 = e_m.v_3$, where v_3 contains some nontrivial entries of the element x_m. Therefore, we have

$$u = e_m.v \mod \mathfrak{f}^4,$$

where v is an element from $(R \cap F' - 1)\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}(R \cap F' - 1) + \mathbf{r}(2)$. Since u is a Lie element, v is again a Lie element and we conclude that

$$w \equiv w'^{e_m} \mod [R \cap F',\, F][R,\, F,\, F]\gamma_4(F).$$

Now we can delete all the brackets from w' with entries of x_m and make an induction. The induction argument shows the following:

Let $w \in \gamma_3(F)$, *such that*

$$w - 1 \in (R \cap F' - 1)\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}(R \cap F' - 1) + \mathbf{r}(2) + \mathfrak{f}^4,$$

then

$$w = w_1 w_2 \mod [R \cap F',\, F][R,\, F,\, F]\gamma_4(F),$$

such that

$$\begin{aligned} &w_1 - 1 \in (R \cap F' - 1)\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{f}(R \cap F' - 1) + \mathfrak{f}^4, \\ &w_2 - 1 \in \mathbf{r}(2) + \mathfrak{f}^4 \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 6.1 follows.

7. THE FOURTH DIMENSION QUOTIENT (CONT'D.)

Since $D_4(G) \subseteq \gamma_3(G)$, the dimension quotient $D_4(G)/\gamma_4(G)$ is exactly the kernel of the map

$$\kappa^3_{3,\,0} : E^3_{3,\,0}(G) \to \overline{E}^3_{3,\,0}(G). \tag{7.1}$$

For $n = 3$ the sequence (5.9) reduces to the following

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Im}(d^2_{1,\,1}) \to \mathrm{Im}(\overline{d}^2_{1,\,1})) \to \mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^2_{3,\,0}) \to D_4(G)/\gamma_4(G) \to 1$$

for every group G. The sequence (5.8) has the following form:

$$0 \to \mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^2_{3,\,0}) \to \mathrm{Coker}(\eta) \to \mathrm{Coker}(\kappa^1_{3,\,0}) \to \mathrm{Coker}(\kappa^2_{3,\,0}) \to 0,$$

where $\eta : \mathrm{Im}(d^1_{1,1}) \to \mathrm{Im}(\overline{d}^1_{1,1})$. From the exact sequences (5.2) and (5.5), we have a commutative diagram

(7.2)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H_1(G) \otimes H_2(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E^1_{2,\,1}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^1_{2,\,1}"'] & \Omega(H_1(G)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "T"'] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & (H_1(G) \otimes H_2(G))^{\oplus 2} \arrow[r] & \overline{E}^1_{2,\,1}(G) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Tor}(H_1(G),\, H_1(G)) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

where T is as in (2.2).

Every element $x \in H_2(G) = H_2(F/R)$ can be presented in the form $x \equiv \prod_{i=1}^k [f_1^{(i)},\, f_2^{(i)}] \mod \gamma_3(F)$, with $\prod_{i=1}^k [f_1^{(i)},\, f_2^{(i)}] \in R$. Then the map $d^1_{1,1}$ restricted to the component $H_2(G) \otimes H_1(G)$ is given by

$$x \otimes \bar{g} \mapsto \prod_{i=1}^{k} [f_1^{(i)}, f_2^{(i)}, g].[R, F, F]\gamma_4(F),\ x \in H_2(G),\ \bar{g} \in G_{ab},$$

where $\bar{f}$ is the image of $f \in F$ in G_{ab}. On the other hand, it is easy to see that the map $\overline{d}^1_{1,1}$ restricted to $(H_2(G)\otimes H_1(G))^{\oplus 2}$ is induced by

$$(x\otimes \bar{g}_1,\ x\otimes \bar{g}_2)\mapsto \sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{f}_1^{(i)}\otimes \bar{f}_2^{(i)}\otimes \bar{g}_1+\sum_{i=1}^{k} \bar{g}_2\otimes \bar{f}_1^{(i)}\otimes \bar{f}_2^{(i)},\ x\in H_2(G),\ \bar{g}_1,\ \bar{g}_2\in G_{ab}.$$

Hence we have the following diagrams:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & H_1(G)\otimes H_2(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & E^1_{1,1}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "d^1_{1,1}"'] & \Omega(H_1(G)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \frac{[F,\,R\cap\gamma_2(F)]\gamma_4(F)}{[R,F,F]\gamma_4(F)} \arrow[r] & \frac{\gamma_3(F)}{[R,F,F]\gamma_4(F)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \frac{\gamma_3(F)}{[F,\,R\cap\gamma_2(F)]\gamma_4(F)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & E^2_{3,0}(G) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & E^2_{3,0}(G) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & (H_1(G)\otimes H_2(G))^{\oplus 2} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \overline{E}^1_{1,1}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\overline{d}^1_{1,1}"'] & Tor(H_1(G),H_1(G)) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
0 \arrow[r] & \frac{(R\cap\gamma_2(F)-1)(1)+\mathfrak{r}(2)+\mathfrak{f}^4}{\mathfrak{r}(2)+\mathfrak{f}^4} \arrow[r] & \frac{\mathfrak{f}^3}{\mathfrak{r}(2)+\mathfrak{f}^4} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \frac{\mathfrak{f}^3}{(R\cap\gamma_2(F)-1)(1)+\mathfrak{r}(2)+\mathfrak{f}^4} \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 0 \\
 & & \overline{E}^2_{3,0}(G) \arrow[r, "\simeq"] & \overline{E}^2_{3,0}(G) &
\end{tikzcd}$$

and

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\Omega(G_{ab}) \arrow[r, "{}^1d^1_{1,1}"] \arrow[d, "T"'] & \frac{\gamma_3(F)}{[R\cap\gamma_2(F),\,F]\gamma_4(F)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{}^1\kappa^1_{3,0}"'] & E^2_{3,0}(F) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^2_{3,0}"'] & 0 \\
Tor(G_{ab},\,G_{ab}) \arrow[r, "{}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1}"] & \frac{\mathfrak{f}^3}{(R\cap F'-1)(1)+\mathfrak{r}(2)+\mathfrak{f}^4} \arrow[r] & \overline{E}^2_{3,0}(F) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{7.3}$$

where ${}^1d^1_{1,1}$ and ${}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1}$ are induced by $d^1_{1,1}$ and $\overline{d}^1_{1,1}$ respectively; here, and subsequently, $(R\cap F'-1)(1) := (R\cap F'-1)\mathfrak{f}+\mathfrak{f}(R\cap F'-1)$.

Now Theorem 6.1 implies the following commutative diagram

(7.4)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathrm{Im}({}^1d^1_{1,1}) \arrow[r, tail] \arrow[d, tail, "\eta'"'] & \frac{\gamma_3(F)}{[R\cap\gamma_2(F), F]\gamma_4(F)} \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, tail, "{}^1\kappa^1_{3,\,0}"'] & E^2_{3,\,0}(F) \arrow[d, "\kappa^2_{3,0}"'] \\
& \mathrm{Im}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1}) \arrow[r, tail] \arrow[d, two heads] & \frac{\mathfrak{f}^3}{(R\cap F')(1)+\mathfrak{r}(2)+\mathfrak{f}^4} \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, two heads] & \overline{E}^2_{3,\,0}(F) \\
\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^2_{3,0}) \arrow[r, tail] & \mathrm{Coker}(\eta') \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Coker}({}^1\kappa^1_{3,\,0}) & \\
& G_{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G_{ab} \arrow[u, two heads] \arrow[ur] & & \\
V_2(G) \arrow[uu, two heads] \arrow[ur, tail] & & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

where η' is the map induced by T.

Hence for $n = 3$ the diagram 5.10 has a simple form and we have the following:

Theorem 7.1. *There exists the following natural system of monomorphism and epimorphisms*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Ker(\kappa^2_{3,\,0}) \arrow[r, two heads] & D_4(G)/\gamma_4(G) \\
V_2(G) \arrow[u, two heads] \arrow[ur, two heads] \arrow[r, tail] & G_{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G_{ab}
\end{tikzcd}$$

As an immediate consequence of the above result, we have various conditions for the fourth dimension quotient of a group G to be trivial.

Corollary 7.2. *If either $V_2(G)$, or $G_{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G_{ab}$ is trivial, then $D_4(G) = \gamma_4(G)$.*

Remark. It may be noted that triviality of the exterior square $G_{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G_{ab}$, for finitley generated G, means that all primary parts of G_{ab} are cyclic.

8. The fifth dimension quotient

For $n = 4$, the diagram (5.11) leads to the following:
(8.1)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & \operatorname{Ker}({}^1\kappa^1_{4,\,0}) \arrow[d] & & \\
 & \operatorname{Ker}(\kappa^2_{4,\,0}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Ker}(\kappa^3_{4,\,0}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & \operatorname{Coker}(\eta) \\
D_1S_3(G_{ab}) \arrow[r, two heads] & \operatorname{Coker}(\xi_4) & \frac{\gamma_4(G)\cap D_5(G)}{\gamma_5(G)} \arrow[d] & \\
 & & \operatorname{Coker}\{\operatorname{Im}(d^3_{1,1}) \to \operatorname{Im}(\overline{d}^3_{1,1})\} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Where $\eta : \operatorname{Im}(d^2_{2,1}) \to \operatorname{Im}(\overline{d}^2_{2,1})$.

Let us examine the other derived functors for their contribution to the dimension quotients. We have the following diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\operatorname{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,1}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, tail] & \Omega(G_{ab}) \arrow[r, "{}^1d^1_{1,1}"] \arrow[d, tail] & {}^1E^1_{3,0}(G) \arrow[d] \\
\operatorname{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1}) \arrow[r] & Tor(G_{ab},\, G_{ab}) \arrow[r, "{}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1}"] & {}^1\overline{E}^1_{3,0}(G)
\end{tikzcd}$$

and natural quotients

$$\begin{tikzcd}
H_3(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, equal] & \operatorname{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,1}) \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, tail] & \overline{\operatorname{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,1})} \arrow[d, tail] \\
H_3(G) \arrow[r] & \operatorname{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1}) \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, two heads] & \overline{\operatorname{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1})} \arrow[d, two heads] \\
 & \operatorname{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1})/\operatorname{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,1}) \arrow[r, equal] & \overline{\operatorname{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1})}/\overline{\operatorname{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,1})}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathrm{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,1})} \arrow[r, "d^2_{2,1}"] \arrow[d, tail] & E^2_{4,0}(G) \arrow[d, "\kappa^2_{4,0}"'] \\
\overline{\mathrm{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1})} \arrow[r, "\overline{d}^2_{2,1}"] & \overline{E}^2_{4,0}(G)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Analogous to the diagram (7.3), we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\overline{\mathrm{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,\,1})} \arrow[r, "{}^1d^2_{2,\,1}"] \arrow[d, "T''"'] & \frac{\gamma_4(F)}{([R\cap\gamma_2(F),F]\cap\gamma_4(F))\gamma_5(F)} \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "{}^1\kappa^2_{4,0}"'] & E^3_{4,\,0}(G) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\kappa^2_{4,\,0}"'] & 0 \\
\overline{\mathrm{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,\,1})} \arrow[r, "{}^1\overline{d}^2_{2,\,1}"] & \frac{\mathfrak{f}^4}{(R\cap F'-1)(1)\cap\mathfrak{f}^4+\mathfrak{r}(2)\cap\mathfrak{f}^4+\mathfrak{f}^5} \arrow[r] & \overline{E}^3_{4,\,0}(G) \arrow[r] & 0
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.2}$$

where the maps ${}^1d^2_{2,\,1}$, ${}^1\overline{d}^2_{2,\,1}$, ${}^1\kappa^2_{4,0}$ and T'' are induced by the maps $d^2_{2,\,1}$, $\overline{d}^2_{2,\,1}$, $\kappa^2_{4,0}$ and T respectively. Let

$$V(F,R) := F\cap(1+(R\cap F'-1)(1)\cap\mathfrak{f}^4+\mathbf{r}(2)\cap\mathfrak{f}^4+\mathfrak{f}^5).$$

An application of the snake lemma implies the following exact sequence:

$$\frac{V(F,R)}{([R\cap\gamma_2(F),\,F]\cap\gamma_4(F))\gamma_5(F)} \to \mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^3_{4,0}) \to \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{Im}({}^1d^2_{2,1}) \to \mathrm{Im}({}^1\overline{d}^2_{2,1}))$$

with a natural sequence of epimorphisms and monomorphisms:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,1})/\mathrm{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1}) \arrow[r, tail] \arrow[d, equal] & G_{ab}\overset{\wedge}{*}G_{ab} \\
\overline{\mathrm{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,1})}/\overline{\mathrm{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,1})} \arrow[r, two heads] & \mathrm{Coker}(\mathrm{Im}({}^1d^2_{2,1}) \to \mathrm{Im}({}^1\overline{d}^2_{2,1}))
\end{tikzcd} \tag{8.3}$$

We collect the above diagrams into the following:

(8.4)

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \frac{W_1(F,R)}{[R,F,F]\cap\gamma_4(F)\gamma_5(F)} \arrow[dr, tail] & \operatorname{Ker}({}^1\kappa^1_{4,\,0}) \arrow[d] & \\
& & \operatorname{Ker}(\kappa^2_{4,\,0}) \arrow[dd] \arrow[dr] & \\
V_3(G_{ab}) \arrow[urr, dashed] \arrow[dr, tail] & & & \frac{W_2(F,R)}{[R\cap F',F]\cap\gamma_4(F))\gamma_5(F)} \arrow[ddd, tail] \\
& D_1S_3(G_{ab}) \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[dr] & \operatorname{Coker}(\xi_4) \arrow[d] & \\
& & \operatorname{Coker}({}^1\kappa^1_{4,\,0}) & \\
& \operatorname{Coker}(\eta_1) & \frac{D_5(G)\cap\gamma_4(G)}{\gamma_5(G)} \arrow[l] & \operatorname{Ker}(\kappa^3_{4,\,0}) \arrow[l] \arrow[dd] \\
& W_3(G) \arrow[urr, dashed] \arrow[dr, tail] & & \\
& & \operatorname{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,\,1})/\operatorname{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,\,1}) \arrow[r, two heads] \arrow[d, tail] \arrow[dr] & \operatorname{Coker}(\eta_2) \arrow[d] \\
& & G_{ab}\overset{\wedge}{*}G_{ab} & \operatorname{Coker}({}^1\kappa^2_{4,\,0}) \\
& & \frac{\mathfrak{f}^4}{(R\cap F'-1)(1)\cap\mathfrak{f}^4+\mathfrak{r}(2)\cap\mathfrak{f}^4+\mathfrak{f}^5} \arrow[ur] & \\
& \frac{\gamma_4(F)}{([R\cap F',F]\cap\gamma_4(F))\gamma_5(F)} \arrow[ur, "{}^1\kappa^2_{4,\,0}"] & &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
&W_1(F,\,R) = [R \cap F',\, F] \cap \gamma_4(F) \cap (1 + \mathbf{r}(2) \cap \mathfrak{f}^4 + \mathfrak{f}^5)\gamma_5(F)\\
&W_2(F,\,R) = F \cap (1 + (R \cap F' - 1)(1) \cap \mathfrak{f}^4 + \mathbf{r}(2) \cap \mathfrak{f}^4 + \mathfrak{f}^5)\\
&\eta_1 : \mathrm{Im}(d^3_{1,\,1}) \to \mathrm{Im}(\overline{d}^3_{1,\,1}),\\
&\eta_2 : \mathrm{Im}({}^1d^2_{2,\,1}) \to \mathrm{Im}({}^1\overline{d}^2_{2,\,1})
\end{aligned}$$

and $W_3(G)$ is the kernel of the composition of the natural maps

$$\mathrm{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,\,1})/\mathrm{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,\,1}) \to \mathrm{Coker}(\eta_2) \to \mathrm{Coker}({}^1\kappa^2_{4,\,0}).$$

Suppose now that $H_2(G) = 0$. Then $R \cap F' = [R,\, F]$, hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&(R \cap F' - 1)(1) \subseteq \mathbf{r}(2),\\
&W_1(F,\,R) = [F,\, R,\, R] \cap \gamma_4(F)\gamma_5(F)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Ker}({}^1\kappa^1_{n,\,0}) = 0$$

for all $n \geq 3$. Also η_1 is an isomorphism. Therefore, diagram (8.4) implies the following diagram with exact horizontal sequence:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
V_3(G) \arrow[d, two heads] & G_{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G_{ab} & \mathrm{Ker}({}^1d^1_{1,\,1})/\mathrm{Ker}({}^1\overline{d}^1_{1,\,1}) \arrow[l, tail] \arrow[d, two heads] \\
\mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^2_{4,\,0}) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Ker}(\kappa^3_{4,\,0}) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, two heads] & \mathrm{Coker}(\eta_4) \\
 & \frac{\gamma_4(G) \cap D_5(G)}{\gamma_5(G)} &
\end{tikzcd}$$

Thus, in particular, we obtain the following

Theorem 8.1. *Let G be a group with $H_2(G) = 0$, $G_{ab} \overset{\wedge}{*} G_{ab} = 0$, $\mathcal{D}_1S_3(G_{ab}) = 0$. Then $D_5(G) = \gamma_5(G)$.*

REFERENCES

[Bau00] Baues, H.-J. and Pirashvili, T. A universal coefficient theorem for quadratic functors. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 148:1–15, 2000.

[Bou67] Bousfield, A. K. Operations on Derived Functors of Non-additive Functors. Preprint. Brandeis University. 1967.

[Cur63] Curtis, E. B. Lower central series of semi-simplicial complexes. *Topology*, 2:159–171, 1963.

[Cur71] Curtis, E. B. Simplicial homotopy theory . *Adv. Math.*, 6:107–209, 1971.

[Dol61] Dold, A. and Puppe, D. Homologie nicht-additiver Funtoren; Anwendugen. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 11:201–312, 1961.

[Dwy75] Dwyer, W. Homology, massey products and maps between groups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 6:177–190, 1975.

[Eil54] Eilenberg, S. and MacLane, S. On the groups $H(\Pi, n)$, II. *Ann. Math.*, 70:49–139, 1954.

[Gru80] Gruenenfelder, L. Lower central series, augmentation quotients and homology of groups. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 55:159–177, 1980.

[Gup87] Gupta, N. *Free Group Rings*, volume 66 of *Contemporary Math.* Amer. Math. Soc., 1987.

[Gup90] Gupta, N. The dimension subgroup conjecture. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 22:453–456, 1990.

[Gup91] Gupta, N. A solution of the dimension subgroup problem. *J. Algebra*, 138:479–490, 1991.

[Gup02] Gupta, N. The dimension subgroup conjecture holds for odd order groups. *J. Group Theory*, 5:481–491, 2002.

[Hara] Hartl, M. On the fourth integer dimension subgroup (preprint).

[Harb] Hartl, M. The relative second fox and third dimension subgroup of arbitrary groups. preprint. arxiv:0707,0286.

[Har91] Hartl, M. Abelsche Modelle nilpotenter Gruppen, PhD thesis, Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn. 1991.

[Har95] Hartl, M. Some successive quotients of group ring filtrations induced by N-series. *Comm. Algebra*, 23:3831–3853, 1995.

[Har96a] Hartl, M. Polynomiality properties of group extensions with torsion-free abelian kernel. *J. Algebra*, 179:380–415, 1996.

[Har96b] Hartl, M. The nonabelian tensor square and Schur multiplicator of nilpotent groups of class 2. *J. Algebra*, 179:416–440, 1996.

[Har98] Hartl, M. Structures polynomiales en théorie des groupes nilpotents, Mémoire d'habilitation à diriger des recherches, Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Strasbourg, 94p. 1998.

[Hil71] Hilton, P. J. and Stammbach, U. *A Course in Homological Algebra*, volume 4 of *GTM.* Springer-Verlag, 1971.

[Kan58] Kan, D. M. A combinatorial definition of homotopy groups. *Ann. Math.*, 67:288–312, 1958.

[Kar88] Karan, R. and Vermani, L. R. A note on polynomial maps. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 51:169–173, 1988.

[Keu73] Keune, F. *Derived functors and algebraic K-theory*, volume 341 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 158–168. Springer-Verlag, 1973.

[Kuz83] Kuz'min, Yu. V. Group extensions and the functor Ext in the variety $\mathcal{N}_c$. *Matematicheski Zametki*, 34:811–820, 1983. (Transl. in Math. Notes (1983), 900-905).

[Kuz96] Kuz'min, Yu. V. Dimension subgroups of extensions with an abelian kernel. *Mat. Sb.*, 187:65–70, 1996. Translation in Sb. Math. 187 (1996), 685-691.

[Lee71] Leeddham Green, C. Homology in varieties of groups, I. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 162:1–14, 1971.

[Mac63] MacLane, S. *Homology.* Springer-Verlag, 1963.

[Mag37] Magnus, W. Über Beziehungen zwieschen höheren Kommutatoren . *J. reine angew. Math.*, 177:105–115, 1937.

[May67] May, J. P. *Simplicial Objects in Algebraic Topology.* Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967.

[Pas68a] Passi, I. B. S. Dimension subgroups. *J. algebra*, 9:152–182, 1968.

[Pas68b] Passi, I. B. S. Polynomial maps on groups. *J. Algebra*, 9:121–151, 1968.

[Pas69] Passi, I. B. S. Polynomial functors. *Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc.*, 66:505–512, 1969.

[Pas74] Passi, I. B. S. and Stammbach, U. A filtration of Schur multiplicator. *Math. Z.*, 135:143–148, 1974.

[Pas79] Passi, I. B. S. *Group Rings and Their Augmentation Ideals*, volume 715 of *LNM*. Springer, Berlin, 1979.

[Raz98] Razdan, A.; Tahara, Ken-Ichi; Vermani, L. R. On generalized third dimension subgroups. *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 41:109–117, 1998.

[Rip72] Rips, E. On the fourth dimension subgroups. *Israel J. Math.*, 12:342–346, 1972.

[Sjo79] Sjogren, J. A. Dimension and lower central subgroups. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 14:175–194, 1979.

[Whi50] Whitehead, J. H. C. A certain exact sequence. *Ann. Math.*, 52:51–110, 1950.

M. Hartl
LAMAV, FR CNRS 2956, ISTV2
Université de Valenciennes et du Hainaut-Cambrrésis
Le Mont Houy, 59313 Valenciennes, Cedex 9, France
email: Manfred.Hartl@univ-valenciennes.fr

R. Mikhailov
Steklov Mathematical Institute
Department of Algebra
Gubkina 8
Moscow 119991, Russia
email: romanvm@mi.ras.ru

I. B. S. Passi
Centre for Advanced Study in Mathematics
Panjab University
Chandigarh 160014, India
and
Indian Institute of Science Education and Research, Mohali
Transit campus: MGSIPA Complex, Sector 26
Chandigarh 160019, India
email: ibspassi@yahoo.co.in